

# anarchist **Freedom**

5

AUGUST 5 1972 Vol 33 No 32

## The Irrelevant Act

THE HOUSE OF LORDS' judgement, which led to the release of the five dockers from Pentonville Prison, again reverses the law and the decision of Lord Dennings' Appeal Court. It now brings the law in line with the intentions set out in the Industrial Relations Act. The Law Lords said that the unions are responsible for the actions of their shop stewards and that the Transport and General Workers' Union will now have to pay the £55,000 contempt fine plus costs.

The decision could also mean that the T&GWU might have to pay compensation to the three blacked Merseyside and Hull companies, Heatons, Craddocks and Panalpina. It also puts Jack Jones, the general secretary, back on the hook. If this wasn't enough, dockers' delegates rejected his joint report, with Lord Aldington, for dealing with dockers' jobs and containers. This report was looked upon as a rescue operation for bringing peace to the docks. Its rejection has meant the continuation of their strike.

The released dockers have done nothing to 'purge their contempt'. It may be said that there is nothing

proved is that once enough workers defy the law, then the Government is powerless.

### RETURNED TO PICKET

The support and solidarity for the jailed dockers was still growing when they were released. But even though the lorry drivers and container depot workers were the first to support them, the dockers immediately returned to picket the Midland Cold Storage depot. Such action can only mean the loss of jobs for the depot workers. Unlike the dockers, they can be sacked. This picket will undermine the support for the dockers and the opposition to the Act which has grown up in the past weeks.

Bernie Steer writes in last Saturday's *Morning Star* that the National Ports Shop Stewards' Committee 'nine-point policy raises the need for all unregistered ports to be brought into the scheme and declares that all stripping and stuffing of containers be done by registered men, because that is traditionally their work.'

But what of the men who haven't traditionally done this work? What

It is true that by the dockers' own efforts they have secured wages and conditions which are far better than many other workers. Even their fall-back pay is higher than many other workers earn and they have to work for it. It is not a case of not wanting the dockers to have these conditions but of achieving them in other industries.

*The Economist* this week says the answer to militant dockers is the same as other employers do to their workers—sack them! They don't like the fact that the docks employers are without this weapon. Certainly the dockers are in a strong position and that strength could be used to help others.

### AGAINST UNION WISHES

Although the dock strike is official, their action was against the wishes of the union. The Aldington-Jones report offers very little in the way of guarantees over job security. Its proposals are based on only the good faith of the employers, which is something a boss only has on Sundays. Jack Jones is only too willing to go along with the employers and reduce the labour force





# anarchist **Freedom** weekly

## The Irrelevant Act

**T**HE HOUSE OF LORDS' judgement, which led to the release of the five dockers from Pentonville Prison, again reverses the law and the decision of Lord Dennings' Appeal Court. It now brings the law in line with the intentions set out in the Industrial Relations Act. The Law Lords said that the unions are responsible for the actions of their shop stewards and that the Transport and General Workers' Union will now have to pay the £55,000 contempt fine plus costs.

The decision could also mean that the T&GWU might have to pay compensation to the three blacked Merseyside and Hull companies, Heatons, Craddocks and Panalpina. It also puts Jack Jones, the general secretary, back on the hook. If this wasn't enough, dockers' delegates rejected his joint report, with Lord Aldington, for dealing with dockers' jobs and containers. This report was looked upon as a rescue operation for bringing peace to the docks. Its rejection has meant the continuation of their strike.

The released dockers have done nothing to 'purge their contempt'. It may be said that there is nothing unusual in the Lords reversing the decision of an Appeals Court. However, this was not an ordinary case but one involving a political Act. The whole argument of whether the decision of the Lords was a political one to get the Government out of trouble is purely academic. The reality was that the Government stood helplessly by while thousands of workers not only took strike action, but by doing so in sympathy, they also broke the law. What this

proved is that once enough workers defy the law, then the Government is powerless.

### RETURNED TO PICKET

The support and solidarity for the jailed dockers was still growing when they were released. But even though the lorry drivers and container depot workers were the first to support them, the dockers immediately returned to picket the Midland Cold Storage depot. Such action can only mean the loss of jobs for the depot workers. Unlike the dockers, they can be sacked. This picket will undermine the support for the dockers and the opposition to the Act which has grown up in the past weeks.

Bernie Steer writes in last Saturday's *Morning Star* that the National Ports Shop Stewards' Committee 'nine-point policy raises the need for all unregistered ports to be brought into the scheme and declares that all stripping and stuffing of containers be done by registered men, because that is traditionally their work.'

But what of the men who haven't traditionally done this work? What happens to these men, many of whom have been sacked from other industries which have been run down? Isn't it about time the traditional militancy of dockers was used to assist others instead of fighting selfishly to take jobs away from fellow workers? Let's face it, most industries have declining work forces and every worker has a common problem of retaining his livelihood. We should be uniting against the employers, not fighting one another.

It is true that by the dockers' own efforts they have secured wages and conditions which are far better than many other workers. Even their fall-back pay is higher than many other workers earn and they have to work for it. It is not a case of not wanting the dockers to have these conditions but of achieving them in other industries.

*The Economist* this week says the answer to militant dockers is the same as other employers do to their workers—sack them! They don't like the fact that the docks employers are without this weapon. Certainly the dockers are in a strong position and that strength could be used to help others.

### AGAINST UNION WISHES

Although the dock strike is official, their action was against the wishes of the union. The Aldington-Jones report offers very little in the way of guarantees over job security. Its proposals are based on only the good faith of the employers, which is something a boss only has on Sundays. Jack Jones is only too willing to go along with the employers and reduce the labour force and job opportunities. The pattern has been set in America. Jack Jones and the employers see this strike as a last stand protest against the run-down of an industry.

But workers are fighting back on this very issue. It is no longer taken for granted that when a firm closes you take your redundancy money and go. The dockers are in a strong position to fight back but only if they join with the lorry drivers and container depot workers. Make



On s

everyone a regist  
the same wages  
Take action not

## PLANET

ACCORDING TO  
(30.7.72). Two  
yesterday at Bangor  
demonstrators pushe  
and broke through

## Jack Robinson Imprisoned



255,000 contempt fine plus £100,000 compensation. The decision could also mean that the T&GWU might have to pay compensation to the three blacked Merseyside and Hull companies, Heatons, Craddocks and Panalpina. It also puts Jack Jones, the general secretary, back on the hook. If this wasn't enough, dockers' delegates rejected his joint report, with Lord Aldington, for dealing with dockers' jobs and containers. This report was looked upon as a rescue operation for bringing peace to the docks. Its rejection has meant the continuation of their strike.

The released dockers have done nothing to 'purge their contempt'. It may be said that there is nothing unusual in the Lords reversing the decision of an Appeals Court. However, this was not an ordinary case but one involving a political Act. The whole argument of whether the decision of the Lords was a political one to get the Government out of trouble is purely academic. The reality was that the Government stood helplessly by while thousands of workers not only took strike action, but by doing so in sympathy, they also broke the law. What this

jobs for the depot workers. Unlike the dockers, they can be sacked. This picket will undermine the support for the dockers and the opposition to the Act which has grown up in the past weeks.

Bernie Steer writes in last Saturday's *Morning Star* that the National Ports Shop Stewards' Committee 'nine-point policy raises the need for all unregistered ports to be brought into the scheme and declares that all stripping and stuffing of containers be done by registered men, because that is traditionally their work.'

But what of the men who haven't traditionally done this work? What happens to these men, many of whom have been sacked from other industries which have been run down? Isn't it about time the traditional militancy of dockers was used to assist others instead of fighting selfishly to take jobs away from fellow workers? Let's face it, most industries have declining work forces and every worker has a common problem of retaining his livelihood. We should be uniting against the employers, not fighting one another.

like the fact that the docks employers are without this weapon. Certainly the dockers are in a strong position and that strength could be used to help others.

## AGAINST UNION WISHES

Although the dock strike is official, their action was against the wishes of the union. The Aldington-Jones report offers very little in the way of guarantees over job security. Its proposals are based on only the good faith of the employers, which is something a boss only has on Sundays. Jack Jones is only too willing to go along with the employers and reduce the labour force and job opportunities. The pattern has been set in America. Jack Jones and the employers see this strike as a last stand protest against the run-down of an industry.

But workers are fighting back on this very issue. It is no longer taken for granted that when a firm closes you take your redundancy money and go. The dockers are in a strong position to fight back but only if they join with the lorry drivers and container depot workers. Make



On s

everyone a register the same wages. Take action not j

# PLANET

# Jack Robinson Imprisoned

THE IMPRISONMENT of our comrade, Jack Robinson, one of the editors of *FREEDOM*, on Tuesday (25.7.72), for refusing to pay the fine, imposed for not filling up his census form, shows how vulnerable people living in country districts are to this sort of persecution. Literally thousands of people in London and the other big cities have defied, or ignored, the census, and nothing has been done to them. The present writer is one of them. There is safety in numbers in the huge anonymous cities, but in a small village, like the one where our comrade lives, everyone knew everyone else. The census enumerator, in spite of official declarations to the contrary, was a local man, who knew the people he was enumerating personally.

Our comrade's stand was a principled one. He believed that the census was an infringement of personal freedom, and he refused to pay the fine also, because he would not give the state any kind of support, even in the form of a small sum of money. He challenged the law in a head-on confrontation.

## A QUIET CHALLENGE

The imprisoned dockers received massive publicity, and widespread support. Our comrade has had neither. Yet it would be foolish to expect a radical change in the nature of our society until people like him get help from everybody in the neighbourhood. The local people of his Suffolk village should have staged a protest. Better still it would have been had they themselves refused to fill up the intrusive census forms. Until we get millions of people ready to refuse obedience and to help each other in their

resistance we are not going to get anywhere.

People are resisting, but they are isolated. An elderly woman living on the edge of Dartmoor in a cottage, and another woman near Bristol, have also taken the same stand as Jack Robinson. Our comrade's stand is the logical continuation of his whole life-style. He refused to take up arms in the Second World War, and as a result suffered a lengthy term of imprisonment. He has always adhered to the fundamental anarchist position on war and civil liberties. We should add that all the *FREEDOM* editors refused to fill in the census. One former editor, also living in the same part of Suffolk, was imprisoned, but later released, as his employers paid his fine. Again one is struck by the difference between the country and the cities, and the much greater freedom of the individual to lose himself and evade the authorities in the latter.

## OBSCURELY HANGED

'There is nothing worse than to be obscurely hanged.'—Eighteenth century saying.

The publicity attending the imprisonment of the five dockers in Pentonville jail contrasts with the silence attending Jack Robinson's imprisonment in Norwich. It also contrasts strikingly with the lack of publicity attending the imprisonment, also in Pentonville, as well as elsewhere, of quite a number of people who have committed no crime at all. Like our comrade they have no powerful organisation or body of opinion to champion them. Says 'Crucifer' in the *New Statesman* (28.7.72), 'If this is to be

'getting people out of Pentonville week' perhaps someone might spare a thought for the 50 odd British citizens and UK passport holders incarcerated there without trial at the moment, for anything up to two months. They represent about half the current victims of the Labour Government's 1968 Kenya Asians Bill. Some are being daily shunted back and forth on international airlines to countries that will not take them, others are shut up at Ashford and London Airport in conditions even more atrocious than Pentonville. A few lucky ones have landed up in Italy and France, where rather more civilised regimes put them in hotels and give them a lodging allowance. There may, however, be a good fairy round the corner. Mary Dynes, of the Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants, has written this week to the Official Solicitor, suggesting that when he has completed his business with the dockers, there are others who need him. I hope he takes up their case. It would be a convenient way of emphasising his total independence from Whitehall.' The last sentence is probably satirically intended.

In short, if you wish to defy the law, and get away with it, it is essential to have a powerful organisation to back you up, to make a fuss and bring your case before the world.

Send letters to Jack Robinson, No. 301, HM Prison, Norwich, NOR 46S.

JOHN BRENT.

## STOP PRESS

Jack has had a reception visit. He is well and unrepentant, and pleased to have received 'good wishes' postcards.

ACCORDING TO (30.7.72), 'Two yesterday at Bangor demonstrators pushed and broke through a visit by Lord Chancellor. The demonstrators, banners saying, "English". . . . The against Lord Hailsham the members of the Society as 'baboons'.

There is an old C whom the gods would make mad.' It would ever gods may be in becoming tired of the Beginning in Ulster, spreading everywhere.

As anarchists we reason to respect the tutions of the British rather staggering to cellor of England citizens as 'baboons' pects a certain dignity high officers of the s be crooks, but at let to indulge in school present time, with hatred on all sides, most extreme folly.

The Welsh reply able. 'To hell with gutter racism. And circle is created, and begins to turn. People of that 'baboon' rem disagree with a man may detest his ideas, he may be a swindler, he is, one may open fair, in the way of what one should n gratuitous insults. human beings hate animals, although would far rather



## Want Act

enough workers  
the Government

### PICKET

olidarity for the  
s still growing  
ased. But even  
rivers and con-  
s were the first  
dockers imme-  
picket the Mid-  
depot. Such  
an the loss of  
workers. Unlike  
can be sacked.  
erminate the sup-  
and the opposi-  
h has grown up

It is true that by the dockers' own efforts they have secured wages and conditions which are far better than many other workers. Even their fall-back pay is higher than many other workers earn and they have to work for it. It is not a case of not wanting the dockers to have these conditions but of achieving them in other industries.

*The Economist* this week says the answer to militant dockers is the same as other employers do to their workers—sack them! They don't like the fact that the docks employers are without this weapon. Certainly the dockers are in a strong position and that strength could be used to help others.

### AGAINST UNION WISHES

Although the dock strike is official, their action was against the wishes of the union. The Aldington-Jones report offers very little in the way of guarantees over job security. Its proposals are based on only the good faith of the employers, which is something a boss only has on Sundays. Jack Jones is only too willing to go along with the employers and reduce the labour force and job opportunities. The pattern has been set in America. Jack Jones and the employers see this strike as a last stand protest against the run-down of an industry.

But workers are fighting back on this very issue. It is no longer taken for granted that when a firm closes you take your redundancy money and go. The dockers are in a strong position to fight back but only if they join with the lorry drivers and container depot workers. Make



On strike and marching to Pentonville, July 25.

everyone a registered docker with the same wages and conditions. Take action not just over jobs but

for a reduction in hours so that the benefits of containers can be enjoyed

Continued on page 2

## PLANET OF THE APES

ACCORDING TO the *Sunday Times* (30.7.72), 'Two policemen were hurt yesterday at Bangor University when 300 demonstrators pushed down crash barriers and broke through police cordons during

baboon than a human. Baboons are not perhaps among the most pleasant of the monkey and ape families, but compared with human beings they are saints. Nevertheless, irrational though it is,

# Imprisoned



workers. Unlike  
can be sacked.  
determine the sup-  
and the opposi-  
h has grown up

es in last Satur-  
that the National  
rds' Committee  
raises the need  
d ports to be  
scheme and de-  
bing and stuffing  
ne by registered  
is traditionally

men who haven't  
his work? What  
men, many of  
cked from other  
have been run  
at time the tradi-  
dockers was used  
instead of fighting  
jobs away from  
t's face it, most  
ning work forces  
has a common  
g his livelihood.  
ting against the  
ing one another.

like the fact that the docks employers  
are without this weapon. Certainly  
the dockers are in a strong position  
and that strength could be used to  
help others.

## AGAINST UNION WISHES

Although the dock strike is official, their action was against the wishes of the union. The Aldington-Jones report offers very little in the way of guarantees over job security. Its proposals are based on only the good faith of the employers, which is something a boss only has on Sundays. Jack Jones is only too willing to go along with the employers and reduce the labour force and job opportunities. The pattern has been set in America. Jack Jones and the employers see this strike as a last stand protest against the run-down of an industry.

But workers are fighting back on this very issue. It is no longer taken for granted that when a firm closes you take your redundancy money and go. The dockers are in a strong position to fight back but only if they join with the lorry drivers and container depot workers. Make



On strike and marching to Pentonville, July 25.

everyone a registered docker with the same wages and conditions. Take action not just over jobs but

for a reduction in hours so that the benefits of containers can be enjoyed  
Continued on page 2

# Imprisoned

going to get any-

ng, but they are  
woman living on  
in a cottage, and  
Bristol, have also  
as Jack Robinson.  
is the logical con-  
sole life-style. He  
rms in the Second  
result suffered a  
isonment. He has  
e fundamental an-  
and civil liberties.  
all the FREEDOM  
in the census. One  
iving in the same  
risoned, but later  
ayers paid his fine.  
by the difference  
and the cities, and  
eddom of the in-  
elf and evade the  
er.

ED

worse than to be  
eighteenth century

ding the imprison-  
ers in Pentonville  
e silence attending  
risonment in Nor-  
strikingly with the  
ding the imprison-  
ville, as well as  
number of people  
no crime at all.  
y have no powerful  
y of opinion to  
'Crucifer' in the  
(2), 'If this is to be

"getting people out of Pentonville week" perhaps someone might spare a thought for the 50 odd British citizens and UK passport holders incarcerated there without trial at the moment, for anything up to two months. They represent about half the current victims of the Labour Government's 1968 Kenya Asians Bill. Some are being daily shunted back and forth on international airlines to countries that will not take them, others are shut up at Ashford and London Airport in conditions even more atrocious than Pentonville. A few lucky ones have landed up in Italy and France, where rather more civilised regimes put them in hotels and give them a lodging allowance. There may, however, be a good fairy round the corner. Mary Dynes, of the Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants, has written this week to the Official Solicitor, suggesting that when he has completed his business with the dockers, there are others who need him. I hope he takes up their case. It would be a convenient way of emphasising his total independence from Whitehall.' The last sentence is probably satirically intended.

In short, if you wish to defy the law, and get away with it, it is essential to have a powerful organisation to back you up, to make a fuss and bring your case before the world.

Send letters to Jack Robinson, No. 301, HM Prison, Norwich, NOR 46S.

JOHN BRENT.

## STOP PRESS

Jack has had a reception visit. He is well and unrepentant, and pleased to have received 'good wishes' postcards.

# PLANET OF THE APES

ACCORDING to the *Sunday Times* (30.7.72), 'Two policemen were hurt yesterday at Bangor University when 300 demonstrators pushed down crash barriers and broke through police cordons during a visit by Lord Hailsham, the Lord Chancellor. The demonstrators carried banners saying, "To hell with the English". . . . They were protesting against Lord Hailsham's description of the members of the Welsh Language Society as 'baboons of the IRA'.

There is an old Greek saying, 'Those whom the gods would destroy they first make mad.' It would appear that whatever gods may be in the British Isles are becoming tired of the mortal inhabitants. Beginning in Ulster, insanity seems to be spreading everywhere.

As anarchists we do not have much reason to respect the traditional institutions of the British state. Yet it is rather staggering to hear the Lord Chancellor of England referring to his fellow citizens as 'baboons'. Normally one expects a certain dignity of behaviour from high officers of the state. They may well be crooks, but at least they do not have to indulge in schoolboy abuse. At the present time, with mounting political hatred on all sides, it was an act of the most extreme folly.

The Welsh reply was equally deplorable. 'To hell with the English' is merely gutter racism. And thus the vicious circle is created, and the wheel of hatred begins to turn. People may die because of that 'baboon' remark. One may surely disagree with a man completely. One may detest his ideas. He may be a fool, he may be a swindler, and, if one believes he is, one may openly say so. This is all fair, in the way of controversy. But what one should never do is to offer gratuitous insults. For some reason human beings hate being compared to animals, although the present writer would far rather have been born a

baboon than a human. Baboons are not perhaps among the most pleasant of the monkey and ape families, but compared with human beings they are saints. Nevertheless, irrational though it is, people feel degraded by being compared to creatures who are in many ways their superiors, and one should recognise this. FREEDOM has tried to avoid the fashionable use of the term 'pig' for policeman, though it has occasionally slipped through, on the grounds that when you have described a man as a 'policeman' you have said the worst you can really say about him.

I remember years ago a Frenchwoman saying to me that the thing you noticed when you came to live in England was the absence of hatred. This statement, made in 1956, would require some qualification today I should imagine. Hatred seems to be encouraged, not only

Continued on page 2

## Leo Tolstoy's THE SLAVERY OF OUR TIMES

25p (postage 6p)

Printed by Briant Colour  
Printing workers at the start  
of their occupation of the  
factory under workers' con-  
trol in June 1972.

Obtainable from Freedom  
Bookshop or direct from the  
publisher:

John Lawrence,  
29 Love Walk,  
London, S.E.5.

All profits to the Briant  
workers



# Understanding the Revolution

**THE SPANISH REVOLUTION AND THE CIVIL WAR**, by Broué and Temimé (Faber and Faber, £6).

**DURRUTI: Le Peuple en arms**, by Paz (Editions Tete de Feuilles).

FOR MANY YEARS the 'basic text' of the Spanish Revolution has been either that censored and evasive 'history' of H. Thomas or the dull disinterested (or interested only in something less than truth) book by S. Payne. Unfortunately the fine *Spanish Cockpit* by Borkenau and *The Spanish Labyrinth* by Brennan together with Bollothen's *Grand Camouflage* have all that we have had in English to refute the biases of Thomas and Payne. Now with Vernon Richard's *Lessons of the Spanish Revolution* (recently reviewed in *FREEDOM*) and Broué's and Temimé's book, we have at last a fuller account of the Revolution to present. My only major criticism of the Broué book is that the authors seem almost consciously to be refuting the simplicities and misunderstandings of previous books to the detriment of what we all want to know

—what was the lesson of the Spanish Revolution? Broué comes close to this fairly early on when he discusses at length the nature of the organisations set up in towns and provinces after the July days. Only one—the Aragon Committee—does he consider to be a real confederation of independent groups, the others all had such political content that real collective action became so sectarian that the mistakes and deceits had a fertile soil to flourish in. If the point of view, now fairly current among anarchist circles, that a Vanguard plus a Syndical Union is inadequate to provide for the needs of a revolutionary situation, as it limits the horizons of the membership, is to be pursued more studies of how the Revolution failed must be made. Perhaps someone will start where Broué and Temimé left off—in the organisation of defence committees and militias.

All these considerations sadly left behind the subject of the second book, Durruti. Again the mystification of Durruti is laid aside for a full and interesting narrative of his life from early struggles

in Leon to 'The Deaths of Durruti' as the author puts it. 'Actions speak louder than words' will always be a suitable epitaph to this militant who never deviated significantly from his basic beliefs (unless you believe *Izvestia*!) after he returned for the first time from France in 1921. The exploits of the 'Iron Column' are given a justifiably small section as Durruti was never just a man who militarised the struggle but fought in the most effective way he could throughout his life. Unfortunately the same treatment is not given to the 'Deaths of Durruti' section. The 'five deaths', shot by the GPU, the Fascists, dissident anarchists, three unidentified gunmen or even by tripping over his own rifle! are pedantically examined, especially the controversy started in *The Times* by Professor Meltzer. The earlier sections more than make up for this however, with its clear detailed style. Altogether Paz has provided a far-reaching biography that anyone interested in the Spanish Revolution should read, if they can read French.

DAVID BROWN.

**MARX, ENGELS and Anarcho-Syndicalists**, Lawrence

**THIS HANDY** Moscow is used to be published the Spanish Civil War now is presumably to the revival of over the world.

The 300-page text—the first half contains against anarchism Stirner and above by the two founders 1850 and 1894; the main writings anarcho-syndicalist founder of Bolshevism 1921—and there editorial material.

The book's main cheap and has

a way that chaotic Marxists contend the State to get an adequate social Marxist theologian charity shop because see its responsibility State helps those

Out of this piggy banks for both charity shops. An support the Marxist is much more to 'conscience salving'

Charities like O continually found the Charity Commission years. The Commission reminded these charities not allowed to. Indeed suggestion for misuse of funds although the charity circumference noises they do not political action for Commissioners.

ragas but for charity shops.

The areas where one needs to retain a critical approach also require a mention. The personnel of charity shops are often the middle class wives of husbands who might well be spending their weekdays causing the problems which require the need for charity. With charity there is that creeping feeling of **doing good for others** which is so notorious about charity workers and makes many a good libertarian shy away.

At the other end charities can be criticised in the way they use their funds. The problems of the third world are not going to be solved by throwing sixpence into the charity bowl or by putting it into a revolving fund for peasant farmers. Many people have the idea that supporting overseas aid charities is the end of their responsibility for people in developing countries. The Marxist approach, as I understand it, argues that the State should run the country in such

## The Irrelevant Act

Continued from page 1

by dockers instead of making huge profits for the employer.

It is unlikely that Mr. Heath will use the Industrial Relations Act against the dockers. That would be political suicide. However, if the strike lasts for any length of time, a state of emergency will be declared and troops used to unload and load cargoes. If this happens then once again the dockers should be joined by other workers.

### ORGANISE OURSELVES

Anarchists, unlike the Communist Party, the International Socialists

round a table a cated procedure bargain away h The TUC want 'genuinely independent and arbitration employers.

The Act is a will be only reactionary employment monopolies even a deal with trade union leaders all other laws, the employer th It is not a question Act because

## CHARITY SHOPS

THE RECENT DISPUTE about the application of the proposed Value Added Tax to charity shops, meaning in Oxfam's case a Government threat to take £136,000 over a full year, brings to public attention the proliferation of charity shops. They are, in fact, to be found all over the country in increasing numbers.

I am among those anarchists who can hardly prevent a groan on hearing certain words. Among them are charity and race. Having been involved with both for a goodly proportion of my working life might take some explaining!

Yet let's look at a defence of the concept of the charity shop. Here we find a building being used to sell articles which have been given by one public to be bought by another public. The proceeds of the operation go to a vast number of causes. Oxfam has some 250 shops throughout our land, a veritable empire in comparison with chain stores, but Shelter, Help the Aged, the Spastics and others have all seen what a fundamentally reliable means of fund-

raising charity shops are. The similarity to squatting has not, to my knowledge, been commented on before, but the use of empty property due for demolition, in between sales, etc., for means of raising money for charity seems very similar to squatting in empty houses.

Possibly no similarity has been commented on in libertarian circles because of a prejudice against charity shops and charity in general. I have great sympathy for this prejudice for charity has not been called cold without reason, and the argument of depicting charity as a means of ridding the bourgeoisie of guilt feeling has much substance.

There are other sides to a charity shop, however. Anarchists are adamant about the State being unnecessary and the voluntary principle is one that if kept alive could blossom in a free society. Voluntary work is at the heart of a charity shop. Also the goods in charity shops are bought by people at prices which are usually very reasonable. It is not too dramatic to claim that many families would be unshod and clothed in

## LESSONS OF THE SPANISH REVOLUTION by Vernon Richards

This is not a reprint of the work with the same title published by *FREEDOM PRESS* in 1953. It is a new and considerably expanded version which the author prepared for an Italian edition published in 1957, and recently published in Paris in a Spanish translation.

Additional chapters deal with such important topics as the Militarization



**T**HE RECENT DISPUTE about the application of the proposed Value Added Tax to charity shops, meaning in Oxfam's case a Government threat to take £136,000 over a full year, brings to public attention the proliferation of charity shops. They are, in fact, to be found all over the country in increasing numbers.

I am among those anarchists who can hardly prevent a groan on hearing certain words. Among them are charity and race. Having been involved with both for a goodly proportion of my working life might take some explaining!

Yet let's look at a defence of the concept of the charity shop. Here we find a building being used to sell articles which have been given by one public to be bought by another public. The proceeds of the operation go to a vast number of causes. Oxfam has some 250 shops throughout our land, a veritable empire in comparison with chain stores, but Shelter, Help the Aged, the Spastics and others have all seen what a fundamentally reliable means of fund-

raising charity shops are. The similarity to squatting has not, to my knowledge, been commented on before, but the use of empty property due for demolition, in between sales, etc., for means of raising money for charity seems very similar to squatting in empty houses.

Possibly no similarity has been commented on in libertarian circles because of a prejudice against charity shops and charity in general. I have great sympathy for this prejudice for charity has not been called cold without reason, and the argument of depicting charity as a means of ridding the bourgeoisie of guilt feeling has much substance.

There are other sides to a charity shop, however. Anarchists are adamant about the State being unnecessary and the voluntary principle is one that if kept alive could blossom in a free society. Voluntary work is at the heart of a charity shop. Also the goods in charity shops are bought by people at prices which are usually very reasonable. It is not too dramatic to claim that many families would be unshod and clothed in

the middle class wives of husbands who might well be spending their weekdays causing the problems which require the need for charity. With charity there is that creeping feeling of **doing good for others** which is so notorious about charity workers and makes many a good libertarian shy away.

At the other end charities can be criticised in the way they use their funds. The problems of the third world are not going to be solved by throwing sixpence into the charity bowl or by putting it into a revolving fund for peasant farmers. Many people have the idea that supporting overseas aid charities is the end of their responsibility for people in developing countries. The Marxist approach, as I understand it, argues that the State should run the country in such

State helps those  
Out of this p  
grounds for both  
charity shops. A  
support the Mar  
is much more to  
'conscience salv  
Charities like C  
continually found  
the Charity Com  
years. The Com  
reminded these  
not allowed to  
Indeed suggestion  
for misuse of fun  
although the ch  
circumference  
noises they do  
political action f  
Commissioners.

## The Irrelevant Act

Continued from page 1

by dockers instead of making huge profits for the employer.

It is unlikely that Mr. Heath will use the Industrial Relations Act against the dockers. That would be political suicide. However, if the strike lasts for any length of time, a state of emergency will be declared and troops used to unload and load cargoes. If this happens then once again the dockers should be joined by other workers.

### ORGANISE OURSELVES

Anarchists, unlike the Communist Party, the International Socialists and the Socialist Labour League, are not demanding that the TUC call a general strike. We say, let us organise ourselves. Let us, as workers, control any strike situation and keep the initiative with the rank and file.

Surely by now even the leadership of the T&GWU is discredited. They are just like any other trade union leadership, unwilling to take on the employer when it comes to fighting to keep jobs in a declining industry. Despite all their talk about 'nationalisation' the dockers are just as far away from running their own industry as they were when Arthur Deakin was general secretary. As railwaymen know, nationalisation in fact usually precedes the decline.

With the Law Lords' decision, container depot companies could take the T&GWU to the National Industrial Relations Court. Jack Jones would then be put on the spot and could hardly co-operate with the Court after his own members have been imprisoned.

What the past weeks have shown is that when workers stop, everything stops. The use of the Act has brought about a situation where the employers, the trade union leaders, and the Government were challenged by unofficial industrial action. The TUC just did not know what to do and could only hope for the best. The trade union bureaucrats don't like the Act because it forces a confrontation. They would rather get

round a table a  
cated procedu  
bargain away  
The TUC war  
'genuinely inde  
and arbitration  
employers.  
The Act is  
will be only  
reactionary em  
monopolies wo  
a deal with  
trade union lea  
all other laws,  
the employer th  
It is not a ques  
Act, because b  
when it is used

## LESSONS OF THE SPANISH REVOLUTION *by Vernon Richards*

This is not a reprint of the work with the same title published by FREEDOM PRESS in 1953. It is a new and considerably expanded version which the author prepared for an Italian edition published in 1957, and recently published in Paris in a Spanish translation.

Additional chapters deal with such important topics as the Militarization of the Militias, the Cult of the Organisation and of Personalities, the Rank and File's Responsibility.

As well as a Select Bibliography, the author has contributed a 20-page Bibliographical Postscript in which he discusses the most important works that have appeared on the subject in the past twelve years.

240 pages 8½ x 5½

cloth edition £1.50 [p.p. 15p]  
paperboards £0.75 [p.p. 15p]

Order your copy now from Freedom Press

afb

Secretary:  
Jeremy Brent,  
1A Woodstock Road, Oxford.

**ANARCHIST  
FEDERATION  
of BRITAIN**

### AFBIB—To all Groups.

The next AFBIB will be produced in Sheffield at 4 Havelock Square, Sheffield, 10. Send material to Secretary, Peter Le Mare. Also needs offers of help from Groups to bring out further issues.

The Contact Column in 'Freedom' is also available for urgent information. Groups should send latest addresses to Birmingham. New inquirers should write direct to them or to the AFB information office in Birmingham.

### AFB REGIONAL GROUPS

There are now anarchist groups in almost every part of the country. To find your nearest group write to:

N.E. England: Mick Renwick, 34 Durham Road, Gateshead, Co. Durham.  
Essex & E. Herts.: P. Newell, 'Aegean', Spring Lane, Eight Ash Green, Colchester. (QM, FL.)  
Surrey: G. Wright, 47 College Road, Epsom.  
Yorkshire: Trevor Savage, Flat 3, 35 Richmond Road, Leeds, 6.  
Manchester: Mat Cunningham, 9 Briar Hill Avenue, Little Hulton, Worsley, Lancs.  
Scotland: Secretary, Mike Malet, 1 Lynnewood Place, Maryfield, Dundee.  
Wales: c/o P. L. Mare (address above).  
N. Ireland: c/o Freedom Press.  
The American Federation of Anarchists: P.O. Box 9885, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55440, USA.  
S. Ireland: 20 College Lane, Dublin, 2.  
University and Student Groups: c/o P. L. Mare.

## PRESS Contribu

July 20-26 inc.

London, N.W.6: 50p; Heston: F.A.W. 90p; L. Bookshop: D.G. Coventry: D.C. Norriston, Pa.: V.K. 80p; Hartfield: Burnaby, B.C.: R. J.K.W. 10p; J.L.

(1) INCOME I  
SCRIPTION  
(Target for  
Amount receiv  
to July 19  
(2) PRESS FU  
(Target for  
Amount receiv  
July 20-26  
Previously ack

TOTAL



# evolution

esson of the Spanish é comes close to this when he discusses at of the organisations of the provinces after the ne—the Aragon Com- sider to be a real dependent groups, the political content that n became so sectarian and deceptions had a ish in. If the point y current among an- t a Vanguard plus a inadequate to provide evolutionary situation, izons of the member- sed more studies of a failed must be made. will start where Broué ff—in the organisation es and militias. erations sadly left be- of the second book, e mystification of Dur- a full and interesting e from early struggles

in Leon to 'The Deaths of Durruti' as the author puts it. 'Actions speak louder than words' will always be a suitable epitaph to this militant who never deviated significantly from his basic beliefs (unless you believe *Izvestia*!) after he returned for the first time from France in 1921. The exploits of the 'Iron Column' are given a justifiably small section as Durruti was never just a man who militarised the struggle but fought in the most effective way he could throughout his life. Unfortunately the same treatment is not given to the 'Deaths of Durruti' section. The 'five deaths', shot by the GPU, the Fascists, dissident anarchists, three unidentified gunmen or even by tripping over his own rifle! are pedantically examined, especially the controversy started in *The Times* by Professor Meltzer. The earlier sections more than make up for this however, with its clear detailed style. Altogether Paz has provided a far-reaching biography that anyone interested in the Spanish Revolution should read, if they can read French.

DAVID BROWN.

rags but for charity shops.

The areas where one needs to retain a critical approach also require a mention. The personnel of charity shops are often the middle class wives of husbands who might well be spending their weekdays causing the problems which require the need for charity. With charity there is that creeping feeling of **doing good for others** which is so notorious about charity workers and makes many a good libertarian shy away.

At the other end charities can be criticised in the way they use their funds. The problems of the third world are not going to be solved by throwing sixpence into the charity bowl or by putting it into a revolving fund for peasant farmers. Many people have the idea that supporting overseas aid charities is the end of their responsibility for people in developing countries. The Marxist approach, as I understand it, argues that the State should run the country in such

# Some Kind of Tribute

**MARX, ENGELS, LENIN: Anarchism and Anarcho-Syndicalism (Progress Publishers, Lawrence and Wishart, 90p).**

**T**HIS HANDY COLLECTION from Moscow is the kind of thing that used to be published during the time of the Spanish Civil War, and its appearance now is presumably some kind of tribute to the revival of libertarian ideas all over the world.

The 300-page text is divided into two—the first half contains the main writings against anarchism (especially Proudhon, Stirner and above all Bakunin) produced by the two founders of Marxism between 1850 and 1894; the second half contains the main writings against anarchism and anarcho-syndicalism produced by the founder of Bolshevism between 1901 and 1921—and there are also 60 pages of editorial material.

The book's main virtues are that it is cheap and has informative notes; its

defects are that the items have been sometimes drastically abridged, and that it is of course utterly biased—in many of the notes, and above all in a crudely sectarian preface by N. Y. Kolpinsky, whose thesis is that anarchism is essentially petty-bourgeois and politically nonsensical.

The collection is presented as a weapon for good Marxists against 'leftism', but libertarians will actually find it well worth reading in order to understand the most important left-wing critique of their ideas. It is a pity that the prevailing orthodoxy in Moscow has prevented the inclusion of other interesting Marxist contributions to the debate by such figures as Plekhanov, Luxemburg, Trotsky, Stalin, Gramsci, and many others. But if Lenin is really rather boring, Marx and Engels are always fascinating and sometimes convincing.

N.W.

a way that charity is not required. Marxists contend that charity enables the State to get away with not providing adequate social services and the strict Marxist theologian might well shun the charity shop because the State will only see its responsibilities if no one but the State helps those needing assistance.

Out of this picture we can see the grounds for both general positions on charity shops. Anarchists could hardly support the Marxist position but there is much more to worry about in the 'conscience salving' aspect of charity.

Charities like Oxfam and Shelter have continually found themselves up against the Charity Commissioners in recent years. The Commissioners have often reminded these charities that they are not allowed to take political action. Indeed suggestions about responsibility for misuse of funds have been made and although the charities on the political circumference make some 'political' noises they do not campaign fully for political action for fear of the Charity Commissioners.

The charity shop as a simple fund-raising exercise is at the opposite pole to the political action campaigners. Yet I can see in the concepts of the charity shop a set of values that have much to recommend them to revolutionaries. One can envisage charity shops and underground bookshops in a sort of alliance of a revolt against exchange value. A new sort of shop, not for profit but for needs.

The revolutionary sharpness applied to the question even envisages—taking the squatter analogy—a taking over of the retail shop and turning it into a shop tuned to the people's needs with factories under workers' control supplying goods for sale at production cost.

This is a practical aspect of revolutionary construction in society that gets overlooked because it's not so exciting as barricades, yet we'll need both when the time comes. Flexible revolutionaries use the aspects of society that have positive trends and nurture them to a practical alternative process.

J.W.

## The Irrelevant Act

Continued from page 1

by dockers instead of making huge profits for the employer.

It is unlikely that Mr. Heath will use the Industrial Relations Act against the dockers. That would be political suicide. However, if the strike lasts for any length of time, a state of emergency will be declared and troops used to unload and load cargoes. If this happens then once again the dockers should be joined by other workers.

### ORGANISE OURSELVES

Anarchists, unlike the Communist

round a table and draw up complicated procedure agreements and bargain away hard-won conditions. The TUC wants what it calls a 'genuinely independent conciliation and arbitration service' with the employers.

The Act is an anachronism and will be only used by the small reactionary employer. The giant monopolies would rather work out a deal with the accommodating trade union leaders. The Act, like all other laws, will not be used if the employer thinks it's not worth it. It is not a question of repealing the

## The Congress of St. Imier

To commemorate the hundredth anniversary of the CONGRESS OF SAINT-IMIER of the International Association of Workingmen (September 15, 1872), the anarchists of Switzerland invite their comrades to an OUTING AND PICNIC

on Sunday, September 17, 1972, at Saint-Imier (Swiss Jura, between Bienne and La Chaux-de-Fonds). There will be a sign on the Place du Marché from ten o'clock to mid-day to show the way to the scene of the picnic. In the evening, a

NISH

Richards

title published by expanded version 1957, and



are. The similarity  
ot, to my knowledge,  
n before, but the use  
due for demolition,  
e, for means of raising  
seems very similar  
ty houses.

Charity has been com-  
munitarian circles because  
against charity shops  
meral. I have great  
prejudice for charity  
cold without reason,  
of depicting charity  
adding the bourgeoisie  
much substance.

esides to a charity  
anarchists are adamant  
being unnecessary and  
principle is one that if  
som in a free society.  
s at the heart of a  
the goods in charity  
by people at prices  
very reasonable. It  
c to claim that many  
an shod and clothed in

## NISH Richards

title published by  
y expanded version  
ished in 1957, and

s the Militarization  
onalities, the Rank

tributed a 20-page  
e most important  
twelve years.

on £1.50 [p.p. 15p]  
s £0.75 [p.p. 15p]

edom Press

Oxford.

## IST TION IN

### ONAL GROUPS

ist groups in almost every  
To find your nearest group

ewick, 34 Durham Road,  
am.

Newell, 'Aegean', Spring  
en, Colchester. (QM, FL.)

College Road, Epsom.

age, Flat 3, 35 Richmond

irmingham, 9 Briar Hill

Worsley, Lancs.

Mike Malet, 1 Lynwood

ndee.

(address above).

n Press.

tion of Anarchists: P.O.

en, Minnesota 55440, USA.

ane, Dublin, 2.

Groups: c/o P. L. Mara.

The personnel of charity shops are often  
the middle class wives of husbands who  
might well be spending their weekdays  
causing the problems which require the  
need for charity. With charity there is  
that creeping feeling of **doing good for  
others** which is so notorious about  
charity workers and makes many a good  
libertarian shy away.

At the other end charities can be  
criticised in the way they use their funds.  
The problems of the third world are not  
going to be solved by throwing sixpence  
into the charity bowl or by putting it  
into a revolving fund for peasant farmers.  
Many people have the idea that sup-  
porting overseas aid charities is the end  
of their responsibility for people in  
developing countries. The Marxist ap-  
proach, as I understand it, argues that  
the State should run the country in such

see its responsibilities if no one but the  
State helps those needing assistance.

Out of this picture we can see the  
grounds for both general positions on  
charity shops. Anarchists could hardly  
support the Marxist position but there  
is much more to worry about in the  
'conscience salving' aspect of charity.

Charities like Oxfam and Shelter have  
continually found themselves up against  
the Charity Commissioners in recent  
years. The Commissioners have often  
reminded these charities that they are  
not allowed to take political action.  
Indeed suggestions about responsibility  
for misuse of funds have been made and  
although the charities on the political  
circumference make some 'political'  
noises they do not campaign fully for  
political action for fear of the Charity  
Commissioners.

can envisage charity shops and under-  
ground bookshops in a sort of alliance  
of a revolt against exchange value. A  
new sort of shop, not for profit but for  
needs.

The revolutionary sharpness applied to  
the question even envisages—taking the  
squatter analogy—a taking over of the  
retail shop and turning it into a shop  
tuned to the people's needs with factories  
under workers' control supplying goods  
for sale at production cost.

This is a practical aspect of revolu-  
tionary construction in society that gets  
overlooked because it's not so exciting as  
barricades, yet we'll need both when the  
time comes. Flexible revolutionaries use  
the aspects of society that have positive  
trends and nurture them to a practical  
alternative process.

J.W.

## The Irrelevant Act

Continued from page 1

by dockers instead of making huge  
profits for the employer.

It is unlikely that Mr. Heath will  
use the Industrial Relations Act  
against the dockers. That would be  
political suicide. However, if the  
strike lasts for any length of time,  
a state of emergency will be declared  
and troops used to unload and load  
cargoes. If this happens then once  
again the dockers should be joined  
by other workers.

### ORGANISE OURSELVES

Anarchists, unlike the Communist  
Party, the International Socialists  
and the Socialist Labour League,  
are not demanding that the TUC  
call a general strike. We say, let us  
organise ourselves. Let us, as wor-  
kers, control any strike situation and  
keep the initiative with the rank and  
file.

Surely by now even the leadership  
of the T&GWU is discredited. They  
are just like any other trade union  
leadership, unwilling to take on the  
employer when it comes to fighting  
to keep jobs in a declining industry.  
Despite all their talk about 'national-  
isation' the dockers are just as far  
away from running their own in-  
dustry as they were when Arthur  
Deakin was general secretary. As  
railwaymen know, nationalisation in  
fact usually precedes the decline.

With the Law Lords' decision,  
container depot companies could  
take the T&GWU to the National  
Industrial Relations Court. Jack  
Jones would then be put on the spot  
and could hardly co-operate with  
the Court after his own members  
have been imprisoned.

What the past weeks have shown  
is that when workers stop, every-  
thing stops. The use of the Act has  
brought about a situation where the  
employers, the trade union leaders,  
and the Government were challenged  
by unofficial industrial action. The  
TUC just did not know what to do  
and could only hope for the best.  
The trade union bureaucrats don't  
like the Act because it forces a con-  
frontation. They would rather get

round a table and draw up compli-  
cated procedure agreements and  
bargain away hard-won conditions.  
The TUC wants what it calls a  
'genuinely independent conciliation  
and arbitration service' with the  
employers.

The Act is an anachronism and  
will be only used by the small  
reactionary employer. The giant  
monopolies would rather work out  
a deal with the accommodating  
trade union leaders. The Act, like  
all other laws, will not be used if  
the employer thinks it's not worth it.  
It is not a question of repealing the  
Act, because by taking such action  
when it is used, it becomes irrelevant.

P.T.



## PRESS FUND

### Contributions

July 20-26 inc.

London, N.W.6: D.L. 50p; Reading: H.D.  
50p; Heston: J.W. 50p; Hartlepool:  
F.A.W. 90p; London, N.19: M.S. 50p;  
Bookshop: D.G. 50p; Brighton: D.L. £1;  
Coventry: D.C. 31p; Enfield: E.J. 50p;  
Norriston, Pa.: S.L. 50p; Gothenburg:  
V.K. 80p; Hartfield: D.M. £10; O.M. £10;  
Burnaby, B.C.: R.P. 10p; Wolverhampton:  
J.K.W. 10p; J.L. 40p.

TOTAL: £27.11

### (1) INCOME FROM POSTAL SUB- SCRIPTIONS AND SALES (Target for 1972—£4,500)

Amount received

to July 19

£1,963.15

### (2) PRESS FUND CONTRIBUTIONS (Target for 1972—£1,500)

Amount received


July 20-26 inc.

£27.11

Previously acknowledged

£849.79

TOTAL TO DATE £876.90



## The Congress of St. Imier

To commemorate the  
hundredth anniversary of the  
CONGRESS OF SAINT-IMIER  
of the International Association of  
Workingmen (September 15, 1872),  
the anarchists of Switzerland invite  
their comrades to an  
OUTING AND PICNIC

on Sunday, September 17, 1972, at  
Saint-Imier (Swiss Jura, between  
Bienne and La Chaux-de-Fonds).  
There will be a sign on the Place  
du Marché from ten o'clock to mid-  
day to show the way to the scene  
of the picnic. In case of rain a  
shelter will be provided.

Bring something to eat, to drink,  
to read aloud and also bring some  
musical instruments. No official  
entertainments have been organised.  
Impromptu speakers will be wel-  
come. Comrades coming from a  
distance will be able to camp.

For all correspondence:

Case postale 44,  
1211 GENEVA 6 Eaux Vives,  
Switzerland.

## Planet of the Apes

Continued from page 1

by the would-be leaders of revolution,  
but by the Establishment itself. 'Bash  
the Paks!' 'Rivers of blood will flow if  
the blacks are not deported!' 'Irish mur-  
derers!' 'Welsh baboons!' 'English devils!'  
'Don't trust anyone over the age of  
twenty-five!' 'Kill the pigs!' 'Dirty  
hippies!' and so on, and so on.

Enoch Powell is said to have made  
racism respectable again, and Lord Hail-  
sham has done his little bit to revive the  
ancient wars between the English and  
the Welsh. Which is worse, the hippies  
with the gun-fantasies and support for  
the Provisional IRA (who beat hippies  
in Ulster, while no doubt welcoming  
their support, for what it's worth, in  
England), or the Establishment, who  
seem deliberately trying to provoke a  
show-down (so that they can spectacularly  
crush it? Or is that too Machiavellian?),  
it is difficult to say.

Certainly the gods seem to want to  
destroy Britain. Perhaps they hope to  
repopulate it with real baboons one day.

PORIUS.



# Thoughts on Workers' Control

AT ALMOST ANY TIME, and in any place or industry, a group of workers is now liable to occupy a factory as an alternative to the dole queues. It has happened already on Clydeside at UCS and Plesseys, on Merseyside at Fisher-Bendix, in rural Norfolk at Sextons leather works, and in London at Briant Colour Printing.

But having refused to leave the factory, what then? Should they operate it under their own control as a co-operative venture? Or should they simply stick it out until a new capitalist can be prevailed upon to take over and set everyone to work again? The question of workers' control is certainly becoming a lot more than the abstract talking point that it has been for so many years.

So far (except at Fakenham) the workers have settled for UCS-type 'work-ins' whose aim was never more than establishing the right to work for an employer. At UCS this aim was achieved. With the aid of large government subsidies a consortium of capitalists were prevailed upon to take over three of the shipyards while the other, at Clydebank, has been bought by an American millionaire company. The workers remain wage slaves. They have won the right to work for a boss. Whether they have made their jobs secure is quite another question.

At Briants, too, the 'right to work' has become the main slogan and guiding principle of the occupation, which is now in its seventh week. No buyer has yet come forward, so the workers are living in a sort of economic no-man's-land. They have no employer. They are in complete control of the factory and are producing a certain amount of printing—mainly on orders supplied by sympathisers. They are being sustained by collections from fellow workers, mainly from print, but also from workers in other industries.

Such a situation cannot last for ever. Either they will get a new employer and return to the old days of wage slavery—or they will be forced to consider taking over the factory and turning it into a co-operative operated under workers' control. (The only other alternative is to accept the dole, take the

redundancy pay, and look for other work—something which not many are prepared to even contemplate at this stage.)

We have nothing but praise and respect for the Briant workers' efforts to keep their jobs and feed their families. They have already done more—much more—than most of us. After all, twenty-four million of us go off to work for an employer every day of our lives and very few think it otherwise than a quite natural thing to do. We get accustomed to slavery, as we get accustomed to anything else. The workers at Briants have started to get out of the capitalist cage, they have opened the gates and tasted a little freedom. If they should now feel apprehensive about the future and wonder whether it is possible to run a factory for ever without a boss this is understandable. All around them are people who accept bosses almost without question.

But if the workers' fears are understandable, the advice given to them by the various politicians and trade union leaders does nothing to remove those fears. All, except the anarchists (and their voice is terribly weak), maintain that the 'right to work' is the only correct strategy for such a situation and that any attempt to operate a factory under workers' control would be foolhardy and doomed to failure.

When pressed to state why this should be so, the arguments usually take the following lines—with variations according to whether the politician is a follower of Marx, Lenin, Trotsky, Mao, or Wedgwood-Benn.

(1) *'All such ventures have failed in the past.'*

This is untrue. The massive co-operative movement which exists today was started by a few workers who pooled their resources to cut out the profit-seeking middleman in the consumer industry. The co-ops are not anarchist organisations—but neither are they exactly capitalist. They were originally based on the principle of mutual aid. Suitably reconstructed, they will undoubtedly play some role in any future society that does away with private profit-making. Certainly the many efforts

made by building workers, farm labourers, engineers, and others, to create worker-controlled co-ops in production all failed in the past. But the fact that they were tried seems to indicate that it is a natural thing for workers to do—much more natural than shouting for another Labour Government, the meanwhile accepting all the ravages of capitalism.

(2) *'A worker-controlled co-operative would have to compete for orders with other firms and would jeopardise employment of other workers.'*

I have heard this argument from communists, trotskyists, and trade union leaders. How strange it is that when a new factory, a capitalist factory, opens up it is hailed as bringing work to the people—but when it is suggested that a bunch of workers threatened with the dole should take-over and run the place themselves this is seen as a threat to the jobs of other workers! Such an argument could have been applied to the UCS 'work-in' for, by keeping the four yards in operation they have undoubtedly reduced the chances of the existing yards of getting what orders are around and thus made unemployment more possible. Indeed, this was argued by the Government at the time. To be logical, the supporters of this theory should advocate the dole, not work-ins, so that those still employed will have less competition to contend with. Most socialists and communists and many trade unionists shop at the co-ops on principle—and quite right, too. Are they thus helping to put the shop assistants in the supermarkets out of work? Carried to its extreme, one could argue that everyone who buys the *Daily Express* is helping to put printers on the *Guardian* out of work!

(3) *'A factory operating under workers' control couldn't pay the wages that workers are accustomed to getting.'*

This may well be true—but a factory operating under workers' control has a lot more to offer than high wages. First of all—no boss! The workers all taking a hand in management and administration. Equal wages and flexible hours of work. Reduction of the stupid division of labour so that all may learn

all the skills. The one is living off the other. Finally, the beginning to produce what people want, not what makes the higher and higher profit concern, then let us see that this 'dog-eat-dog' is over.

(4) *'Workers' control is for the future communist/trotsky type government. It is to build the communist/socialist-type lead and direct to promised land.'*

This, in essence, is the message of all the propaganda to the Left. Workers are cannon fodder. The workers, with skills and productive capacities. They themselves try to break out of wage slavery but must protest (and if necessary the leaders may take advantage of things for workers. In fact, of course, no State, has workers to own and control of work. The Russians during the revolution the Bolsheviks felt strong the regime of workers appointed managers to the State. Those there were many) imprisoned and shot.

Workers' control isn't now, a mere accident. It is my belief that by their own efforts to establish workers' control they can and when will forever remain to a capitalist boss as in Russia.

something, or even more great and powerful for Heaven's sake respectable, old-established firm will be 'liberated' instead of condemning six million Jews to their case. Maybe it ends that it was only all. Such a firm will be nishing its respectable afraid of lawsuits disturbance and so on.

Take it instead beatniks, with an air and little to lose. They are the only hope. Harper and Row are of this kind.

THE MODERN AS

Everyone knows, a nation is world-wide, gangsters and the Mafia this magnitude just more, that the CIA is to the ancient sect of Jesuits of the Council the old British Empire. It uses intellectuals, it sees itself dedicated world Communism, themselves as the the Jesuits of Catholicism.

## A State within a State

IT SEEMS to be the fashion now for large and wealthy organisations to go in for censorship. Rio Tinto Zinc have already suppressed a book on Snowdonia which criticises their activities (FREEDOM, 28.7.72), and now it is the turn of the CIA. According to *The International Herald Tribune* (24.7.72), 'CIA Openly Contests Drug Charge', the Central Intelligence Agency has acted more openly than Rio Tinto, but its intention is the same, to prevent the public reading anything unfavourable to

### UNWARRANTED, UNPROVEN AND FALLACIOUS

As did the officials of Rio Tinto Zinc, the CIA men claim that the book contains many 'unwarranted, unproven and fallacious accusations', which means in the new political jargon 'uncomfortable, well-authenticated and undeniable', and so of course the general public must not be allowed to read these accusations.

Instead of letting them be made, and then answering them, if they could be

Mr. McCoy fade into oblivion. Instead of which the CIA has drawn attention to itself, a thing which no secret society should ever do.

### GIVING IN TO THE BULLIES

Not content with this the CIA then approached Harper and Row, and asked for a copy of the manuscript for review before publication. This was an informal request. Evidently to begin with they were rebuffed, for later a formal demand was sent by Lawrence R. Houston.



has become the main slogan and guiding principle of the occupation, which is now in its seventh week. No buyer has yet come forward, so the workers are living in a sort of economic no-man's-land. They have no employer. They are in complete control of the factory and are producing a certain amount of printing—mainly on orders supplied by sympathisers. They are being sustained by collections from fellow workers, mainly from print, but also from workers in other industries.

Such a situation cannot last for ever. Either they will get a new employer and return to the old days of wage slavery—or they will be forced to consider taking over the factory and turning it into a co-operative operated under workers' control. (The only other alternative is to accept the dole, take the

factory and dole to hand.) When pressed to state why this should be so, the arguments usually take the following lines—with variations according to whether the politician is a follower of Marx, Lenin, Trotsky, Mao, or Wedgwood-Benn.

(1) 'All such ventures have failed in the past.'

This is untrue. The massive co-operative movement which exists today was started by a few workers who pooled their resources to cut out the profit-seeking middleman in the consumer industry. The co-ops are not anarchist organisations—but neither are they exactly capitalist. They were originally based on the principle of mutual aid. Suitably reconstructed, they will undoubtedly play some role in any future society that does away with private profit-making. Certainly the many efforts

to contend with. Most socialists and communists and many trade unionists shop at the co-ops on principle—and quite right, too. Are they thus helping to put the shop assistants in the supermarkets out of work? Carried to its extreme, one could argue that everyone who buys the *Daily Express* is helping to put printers on the *Guardian* out of work!

(3) 'A factory operating under workers' control couldn't pay the wages that workers are accustomed to getting.'

This may well be true—but a factory operating under workers' control has a lot more to offer than high wages. First of all—no boss! The workers all taking hand in management and administration. Equal wages and flexible hours of work. Reduction of the stupid division of labour so that all may learn

by their own efforts, to establish worker they can and when will forever remain to a capitalist boss as in Russia.

something, or even great and powerful for Heaven's sake respectable, old-established firm will be 'liberal' instead of condemning six million Jews their case. Maybe end that it was only all.' Such a firm wishing its respectable afraid of lawsuits disturbance and so

Take it instead beatniks, with an and little to lose. are the only hope. Harper and Row are of this kind.

## THE MODERN AS

Everyone knows. ation is world-wide, gangsters and the M this magnitude just more, that the CIA to the ancient sect Jesuits of the Coun the old British imp It uses intellectuals, it sees itself dedicate world Communism. themselves as the the Jesuits of Cath secret service men Lawrence for exam their imperial destin

Says *The Internati* 'In a series of inter *York Times*, a num former officials of th that smuggling and way" was common East Asia during th noted that the age strong steps to curb reader here can tak salt before continu spent many years said, "I don't belie personnel were dea if you're talking, hauling the stuff a bottom dollar tha Which seems to the the good old trick of sacrificing your they become an emb it seems quite clear a lot of very dirty on at the cross-road We are not allowe it is perfectly fair fo and if they sound r that's just too bad!

## Anarchist C ABC OF A

—Alexande  
20p

Reprint of F  
Edition with  
duction by Pe

# A State within a State

IT SEEMS to be the fashion now for large and wealthy organisations to go in for censorship. Rio Tinto Zinc have already suppressed a book on Snowdonia which criticises their activities (FREEDOM, 28.7.72), and now it is the turn of the CIA. According to *The International Herald Tribune* (24.7.72), 'CIA Openly Contests Drug Charge', the Central Intelligence Agency has acted more openly than Rio Tinto, but its intention is the same, to prevent the public reading anything unfavourable to it.

It has been an open secret for many years that the CIA has financed itself from the traffic in opium, which is grown in the countries of South East Asia still under American control and flown out by an organisation rejoicing in the name of Air America. The CIA have done much worse things than this. In several countries they have stirred up reactionary risings in the interests of the United States—or perhaps more truly in the interests of the CIA itself. Many people believe that the CIA were responsible for the murder of President Kennedy, and of his brother. Certainly the organisation was responsible for the massacre of the Indonesian Communists and it is well known that it at one time helped to finance the magazine *Encounter* and has committed many other crimes against humanity. In short it is a state within the American state; the American Secret Service, which is separate, hates it, and so does the FBI. Neither of these organisations can lay much claim to being radical.

The present furore has been started by the testimony before Congress of Alfred W. McCoy, a Yale graduate who has spent 18 months investigating the narcotics trade in South East Asia. He has written a book which is, or perhaps one should say was about to appear, entitled *The Politics of Heroin in South East Asia*, published by Harper and Row, a famous firm.

Mr. McCoy claims in his book that both CIA and State Department officials have provided political and military support for America's allies engaged in the drug traffic, and done everything they could to conceal what was going on.

## UNWARRANTED, UNPROVEN AND FALLACIOUS

As did the officials of Rio Tinto Zinc, the CIA men claim that the book contains many 'unwarranted, unproven and fallacious accusations', which means in the new political jargon 'uncomfortable, well-authenticated and undeniable', and so of course the general public must not be allowed to read these accusations.

Instead of letting them be made, and then answering them, if they could be answered, the CIA prefer censorship, only, since America is not England where things can be done in an gentlemanly underhand way, they are forced to come out into the open to some extent. The CIA officials at least tell us that they are trying to do the dirty, and to that extent (I suppose) they deserve the respect given to open brutes, honest thugs.

To begin with Mr. McCoy's accusations, both in congressional hearing and in a magazine, did not attract much attention. Probably most Americans, whether they support the present regime or not, are pretty cynical by now, and would not find anything very surprising in these revelations. A columnist used some of Mr. McCoy's statements in *The Washington Star*, and two letters were sent denying the accusations, one by W. E. Colby, the CIA's executive director, and the other by Paul V. Velte, Jr., an Air America official.

Unless there is some complicated and deep-laid plot, unless the whole thing is a scheme to first of all get the CIA accused and then triumphantly disprove the accusations, which would be phoney to begin with,\* this move suggests that the organisation is beginning, for some reason, to get awfully jumpy. Because, really, when you come to think of it, no action could be more foolish. Far better to have let the accusations of

\*The idea of a plot is amusing, but in real life governments and similar organisations rarely go in for such subtlety. The writer's opinion is that rulers are generally rather stupid men, whose power is based on the submissiveness of the people rather than on their own great cleverness.

Mr. McCoy fade into oblivion. Instead of which the CIA has drawn attention to itself, a thing which no secret society should ever do.

## GIVING IN TO THE BULLIES

Not content with this the CIA then approached Harper and Row, and asked for a copy of the manuscript for review before publication. This was an informal request. Evidently to begin with they were rebuffed, for later a formal demand was sent by Lawrence R. Houston, general counsel for the CIA, and this time the publishers gave in.

B. Brooks Thomas, vice-president and general counsel of the publishers, said, 'We don't have any doubts about the book at all. We've had it reviewed by others and we're persuaded that the work is amply documented and scholarly.' Then of course he went on to back down. 'As one of the oldest publishing houses in America, Harper and Row has an obligation to itself and what it stands for. We're not submitting to censorship or anything like that.' [Of course not!] 'We're taking a responsible middle position.' [No wonder the word 'liberal' has become a hate-word in America.] I just believe that the CIA should have the chance to review it.' In order to show how liberal he was, he went on to say that if Mr. McCoy did not agree Harper and Row would not publish the book. What a pity that the author did not take him at his word and go to another publisher!

Alas, Mr. McCoy, after first writing to his publisher to the effect that he would not submit the manuscript to the CIA because 'the public's right to know is best served by publishing the book as it now stands', then climbed down too—the pressures in America are grimmer than anything we in England know—and signed an agreement with the publishers that the books should be sent in page-proof form to the CIA, giving the agency ten days in which to respond.

## THE MORAL OF THIS SAD STORY

The moral of this little tale is the same as that concerning Rio Tinto and the book on Snowdonia. If you've got a good book that will blow the lid off



# Workers' Control

look for other  
ch not many are  
ntemplate at this

but praise and  
t workers' efforts  
feed their families.  
done more—much  
After all, twenty-  
off to work for  
day of our lives  
it otherwise than  
to do. We get  
y, as we get ac-  
else. The workers  
to get out of the  
have opened the  
e freedom. If they  
prehensive about the  
ether it is possible  
ever without a  
dable. All around  
cept bosses almost

fears are under-  
given to them by  
s and trade union  
to remove those  
the anarchists (and  
y weak), maintain  
work' is the only  
operate a factory  
would be fool-  
failure.

ate why this should  
usually take the  
variations according  
cian is a follower  
trotsky, Mao, or

have failed in the

The massive co-  
which exists today  
workers who pooled  
at out the profit-  
in the consumer  
are not anarchist  
neither are they  
they were originally  
le of mutual aid.  
d, they will un-  
role in any future  
way with private  
only the many efforts

made by building workers, farm  
labourers, engineers, and others, to  
create worker-controlled co-ops in pro-  
duction all failed in the past. But the  
fact that they were tried seems to  
indicate that it is a natural thing for  
workers to do—much more natural than  
shouting for another Labour Govern-  
ment, the meanwhile accepting all the  
ravages of capitalism.

(2) 'A worker-controlled co-operative  
would have to compete for orders  
with other firms and would jeopardise  
employment of other workers.'

I have heard this argument from com-  
munists, trotskyists, and trade union  
leaders. How strange it is that when  
a new factory, a capitalist factory, opens  
up it is hailed as bringing work to the  
people—but when it is suggested that  
a bunch of workers threatened with the  
dole should take-over and run the place  
themselves this is seen as a threat to  
the jobs of other workers! Such an  
argument could have been applied to the  
UCS 'work-in' for, by keeping the four  
yards in operation they have undoubtedly  
reduced the chances of the existing yards  
of getting what orders are around and  
thus made unemployment more possible.  
Indeed, this was argued by the Govern-  
ment at the time. To be logical, the  
supporters of this theory should advocate  
the dole, not work-ins, so that those  
still employed will have less competition  
to contend with. Most socialists and  
communists and many trade unionists  
shop at the co-ops on principle—and  
quite right, too. Are they thus helping  
to put the shop assistants in the super-  
markets out of work? Carried to its  
extreme, one could argue that everyone  
who buys the *Daily Express* is helping  
to put printers on the *Guardian* out of  
work!

(3) 'A factory operating under workers'  
control couldn't pay the wages that  
workers are accustomed to getting.'

This may well be true—but a factory  
operating under workers' control has a  
lot more to offer than high wages. First  
of all—no boss! The workers all taking  
a hand in management and administra-  
tion. Equal wages and flexible hours  
of work. Reduction of the stupid  
division of labour so that all may learn

all the skills. The knowledge that no  
one is living off the back of another.  
Finally, the beginnings of an effort to  
produce what people really need—and  
not what makes the boss a profit. If  
higher and higher wages is the only  
concern, then let us recognise right away  
that this 'dog-eat-dog' system is here  
forever.

(4) 'Workers' control is all right—but it  
is for the future, when we have a  
communist/trotskyist/maoist/socialist-  
type government. Meanwhile, the task  
is to build the communist/trotskyist/  
maoist/socialist-type party which can  
lead and direct the workers to the  
promised land.'

This, in essence, is the fundamental  
message of all the politicians from Right  
to Left. Workers are viewed as political  
cannon fodder. They have no role as  
workers, with skills and abilities and pro-  
ductive capacities. They must not them-  
selves try to break out of the system of  
wage slavery but must merely shout and  
protest (and if necessary die) so that  
the leaders may take over the State and  
organise things for the benefit of the  
workers. In fact, of course, no Govern-  
ment, no State, has ever permitted the  
workers to own and control their places  
of work. The Russian workers did it  
during the revolution, but when the  
Bolsheviks felt strong enough they ended  
the regime of workers' control and  
appointed managers directly responsible  
to the State. Those who resisted (and  
there were many) were arrested, im-  
prisoned and shot.

Workers' control wasn't then, and it  
isn't now, a mere academic question.

It is my belief that unless workers  
by their own efforts fight in every way  
to establish workers' control wherever  
they can and whenever they can, they  
will forever remain in slavery. If not  
to a capitalist boss then to a State boss  
as in Russia.


JOHN LAWRENCE.

something, or even mildly criticise some  
great and powerful organisation, don't  
for Heaven's sake take it to some  
respectable, old-established firm. Such a  
firm will be 'liberal', that is to say in-  
stead of condemning the Nazis for killing  
six million Jews it will say, 'Let's hear  
their case. Maybe it will turn out in the  
end that it was only three million after  
all.' Such a firm will be afraid of tar-  
nishing its respectable image. It will be  
afraid of lawsuits and scandal and  
disturbance and so on.

Take it instead to some bunch of  
beatniks, with an ancient printing press  
and little to lose. Those sort of people  
are the only hope. Frankly, firms like  
Harper and Row are a dead loss in cases  
of this kind.

## THE MODERN ASSASSINS

Everyone knows, after all the organis-  
ation is world-wide, and has links with  
gangsters and the Mafia, and secrets of  
this magnitude just aren't secret any  
more, that the CIA is a worthy successor  
to the ancient sect of the Assassins, the  
Jesuits of the Counter-Reformation and  
the old British imperial secret service.  
It uses intellectuals, it uses murderers,  
it sees itself dedicated to the fight against  
world Communism, as the Assassins saw  
themselves as the defenders of Islam,



Any book not in stock, but in  
print can be promptly supplied.

Please add postage & cash with  
order helps.

### The Slavery of our Times

Leo Tolstoy  
(Briant work-in edition) £0.25 (5p)

Tolstoy on Civil Disobedience  
and Non-Violence £0.45 (5p)

Walden and 'Civil  
Disobedience' Thoreau £0.30 (9p)

Life, Death & Immortality  
P. B. Shelley £0.10 (2½p)

Beyond the Chains of Illusion  
Erich Fromm £0.85 (12p)

Utopias and Utopian Thought  
(ed.) Frank E. Manuel £1.25 (12p)

Making Communes  
survey/manual  
Clem Gorman £0.75 (9p)

The Movement toward a new  
America  
(ed.) Mitchell Goodman £3.95 (29p)

The Revolution and the Civil  
War in Spain  
Broue and Temime £6.00 (25p)

Roots of Revolution  
Franco Venturi £1.75 (25p)

Drawing the Line  
Paul Goodman £0.65 (9p)

Anarchism: Old & New  
Gerald Runkle £1.50 (12p)

Please send foolscap (9" x 4") S.A.E.  
if you would like to receive regular  
booklists.

# a State

## UNPROVEN

of Rio Tinto Zinc,  
n that the book  
arranted, unproven  
ions', which means  
jargon 'uncomfort-  
ed and undeniable',  
general public must  
d these accusations.  
hem be made, and

Mr. McCoy fade into oblivion. Instead  
of which the CIA has drawn attention  
to itself, a thing which no secret society  
should ever do.

## GIVING IN TO THE BULLIES

Not content with this the CIA then  
approached Harper and Row, and asked  
for a copy of the manuscript for review  
before publication. This was an informal  
request. Evidently to begin with they  
were rebuffed, for later a formal demand

**F** **Freedom**  
**P** **ress**

publish  
**FREEDOM** weekly  
and distribute  
**ANARCHY** monthly

**84b Whitechapel High Street**  
**London E1 01-247 9249**  
**Entrance Angel Alley,**  
**Whitechapel Art Gallery exit,**  
**Aldgate East Underground Stn.**  
**SPECIMEN COPIES ON**  
**REQUEST**

Bookshop



failure. Why this should usually take the variations according to a follower of Trotsky, Mao, or have failed in the The massive co- which exists today workers who pooled out the profit- in the consumer s are not anarchist neither are they they were originally ple of mutual aid. ed, they will un- role in any future away with private ly the many efforts

# a State

## UNPROVEN

of Rio Tinto Zinc, m that the book warranted, unproven tions', which means jargon 'uncomfort- ed and undeniable', general public must ad these accusations. them be made, and a, if they could be prefer censorship, a is not England done in an gentle- ay, they are forced the open to some cials at least tell us to do the dirty, and ppose) they deserve open brutes, honest

Mr. McCoy's accus- sional hearing and not attract much most Americans, the present regime cynical by now, and thing very surprising A columnist used s' statements in *The* and two letters were accusations, one by s' executive director, Paul V. Velte, Jr., cial.

me complicated and ss the whole thing of all get the CIA umphantly disprove h would be phoney move suggests that beginning, for some ly jumpy. Because, me to think of it, more foolish. Far the accusations of

is amusing, but in nts and similar or- go in for such er's opinion is that rather stupid men, d on the submissive- rather than on their s.

still employed will have less competition to contend with. Most socialists and communists and many trade unionists shop at the co-ops on principle—and quite right, too. Are they thus helping to put the shop assistants in the super- markets out of work? Carried to its extreme, one could argue that everyone who buys the *Daily Express* is helping to put printers on the *Guardian* out of work!

(3) 'A factory operating under workers' control couldn't pay the wages that workers are accustomed to getting.'

This may well be true—but a factory operating under workers' control has a lot more to offer than high wages. First of all—no boss! The workers all taking a hand in management and administration. Equal wages and flexible hours of work. Reduction of the stupid division of labour so that all may learn

Mr. McCoy fade into oblivion. Instead of which the CIA has drawn attention to itself, a thing which no secret society should ever do.

## GIVING IN TO THE BULLIES

Not content with this the CIA then approached Harper and Row, and asked for a copy of the manuscript for review before publication. This was an informal request. Evidently to begin with they were rebuffed, for later a formal demand was sent by Lawrence R. Houston, general counsel for the CIA, and this time the publishers gave in.

B. Brooks Thomas, vice-president and general counsel of the publishers, said, 'We don't have any doubts about the book at all. We've had it reviewed by others and we're persuaded that the work is amply documented and scholarly.' Then of course he went on to back down. 'As one of the oldest publishing houses in America, Harper and Row has an obligation to itself and what it stands for. We're not submitting to censorship or anything like that.' [Of course not!] 'We're taking a responsible middle position.' [No wonder the word 'liberal' has become a hate-word in America.] I just believe that the CIA should have the chance to review it.' In order to show how liberal he was, he went on to say that if Mr. McCoy did not agree Harper and Row would not publish the book. What a pity that the author did not take him at his word and go to another publisher!

Alas, Mr. McCoy, after first writing to his publisher to the effect that he would not submit the manuscript to the CIA because 'the public's right to know is best served by publishing the book as it now stands', then climbed down too—the pressures in America are grimmer than anything we in England know—and signed an agreement with the publishers that the books should be sent in page-proof form to the CIA, giving the agency ten days in which to respond.

## THE MORAL OF THIS SAD STORY

The moral of this little tale is the same as that concerning Rio Tinto and the book on Snowdonia. If you've got a good book that will blow the lid off

It is my belief that unless workers by their own efforts fight in every way to establish workers' control wherever they can and whenever they can, they will forever remain in slavery. If not to a capitalist boss then to a State boss as in Russia.

JOHN LAWRENCE.

something, or even mildly criticise some great and powerful organisation, don't for Heaven's sake take it to some respectable, old-established firm. Such a firm will be 'liberal', that is to say instead of condemning the Nazis for killing six million Jews it will say, 'Let's hear their case. Maybe it will turn out in the end that it was only three million after all.' Such a firm will be afraid of tarnishing its respectable image. It will be afraid of lawsuits and scandal and disturbance and so on.

Take it instead to some bunch of beatniks, with an ancient printing press and little to lose. Those sort of people are the only hope. Frankly, firms like Harper and Row are a dead loss in cases of this kind.

## THE MODERN ASSASSINS

Everyone knows, after all the organisation is world-wide, and has links with gangsters and the Mafia, and secrets of this magnitude just aren't secret any more, that the CIA is a worthy successor to the ancient sect of the Assassins, the Jesuits of the Counter-Reformation and the old British imperial secret service. It uses intellectuals, its uses murderers, it sees itself dedicated to the fight against world Communism, as the Assassins saw themselves as the defenders of Islam, the Jesuits of Catholicism, the British secret service men (people like T. E. Lawrence for example) as defenders of their imperial destiny.

Says *The International Herald Tribune*, 'In a series of interviews with *The New York Times*, a number of present and former officials of the CIA acknowledged that smuggling and "looking the other way" was common throughout South East Asia during the 1960s. But many noted that the agency had since taken strong steps to curb such practices.' The reader here can take a strong pinch of salt before continuing. 'One official, who spent many years in South East Asia, said, "I don't believe that agency staff personnel were dealing in opium. But if you're talking about Air America hauling the stuff around, then I'll bet my bottom dollar that they were in it."' Which seems to the present to be merely the good old trick in intelligence work of sacrificing your buddies as soon as they become an embarrassment. In short, it seems quite clear from the above that a lot of very dirty work has been going on at the cross-roads of South East Asia. We are not allowed to know, therefore it is perfectly fair for us to make guesses, and if they sound rather unkind guesses that's just too bad!


JOHN BRENT.

## Anarchist Classics Series ABC OF ANARCHISM —Alexander Berkman 20p (2½p)

Reprint of Freedom Press  
Edition with a new Intro-  
duction by Peter E. Newell,

Broue and Temime £6.00 (25p)  
**Roots of Revolution**  
Franco Venturi £1.75 (25p)  
**Drawing the Line**  
Paul Goodman £0.65 (9p)  
**Anarchism: Old & New**  
Gerald Runkle £1.50 (12p)

Please send foolscap (9" x 4") S.A.E.  
if you would like to receive regular  
booklists.



**publish**  
**FREEDOM weekly**  
**and distribute**  
**ANARCHY monthly**

**84b Whitechapel High Street**  
**London E1 01-247 9249**  
**Entrance Angel Alley,**  
**Whitechapel Art Gallery exit,**  
**Aldgate East Underground Stn.**  
**SPECIMEN COPIES ON**  
**REQUEST**

**Bookshop**  
**Open Afternoons**  
**Tuesday to Friday**  
**2 p.m. to 6 p.m.**  
**Open Evenings**  
**Thursday close at 8.30 p.m.**  
**Saturday 10 a.m. to 4 p.m.**

## SUBSCRIPTION RATES, 1972

### FREEDOM

Inland, and Surface Mail abroad		
for one year	£3.00	(\$7.50)
six months	£1.50	(\$3.75)
three months	£0.80	(\$2.00)

### Airmail

Europe &	1 year	£4.00
Middle East	6 months	£2.00
The Americas	1 year	\$12.50
	6 months	\$6.25
India, Africa, &c.	1 year	\$4.50
	6 months	\$2.25
Australasia &	1 year	\$5.00
Far East	6 months	\$2.50

### ANARCHY

Inland, and Surface Mail abroad		
12 issues	£2.25	(\$6.00)
6 issues	£1.13	(\$3.00)
Airmail		
USA & Canada	12 issues	\$11.00
	6 issues	\$6.00
Australasia &	12 issues	\$4.50
Far East	6 issues	\$2.25



## Workers' Control— but not just yet!

Dear Editors,

My attention has been drawn to a report in *FREEDOM* of July 15 entitled 'Workers' control—but not just yet'. It purports to be a report of a meeting called by branches of the International Socialists in support of the occupation of Briant Colour. In fact it gives what can only be described as a deliberately misleading account of the views of myself and IS.

Thus J.A. writes that I refused to 'agree with the take-over at Briants'. This is a lie. The whole meeting was called in solidarity with the Briant workers (one of whose shop stewards—FOCs—spoke at the meeting). To the contrary I and the IS trade unionists from the print industry present called for sympathetic support and action for the Briant occupation. The only disagreement with the occupation expressed at the meeting came from an anarchist comrade who said that Briant workers should tout for orders—even taking work from other trade unionists if necessary. This view was opposed not only by the IS speakers but also by the Briant workers present. While one does not expect to agree with the anti-politics line of *FREEDOM* one has come to expect it to abjure the methods of sectarian distortion found elsewhere on the left.

Yours fraternally,

S.W.19

JOHN PALMER.

### J.A. Replies:

John Palmer should not have been so stung by my report of the IS meeting. The question put to the platform was 'Do you agree with the take-over at Briant's and its operation under workers'

control?' The speakers said that they did not (to their credit if that is what they think) and the terms of their reply made it clear that it was workers' control they meant. Otherwise why should John say that he was opposed to 'building Socialism in one factory in the Old Kent Road'?

Of course International Socialism supports the **occupation**—so does everyone else including the trade union bureaucrats who organise the sending of large sums of money to the Briant workers. But, as a trade union official said at the last mass meeting, 'The question of the factory being under workers' control was **detering prospective buyers**—definitely so.' This probably sounds the death knell for those workers (and there are some) who want to keep and run the factory themselves.

The probability is that a UCS-type buyer will be found and the workers quietly sold into slavery again. This is the line of the unions, the Communist Party and, as far as I can discover, of International Socialism. If not, why should John Palmer speak so scathingly in his letter against the idea of Briant workers seeking orders? If, against all probability, the workers keep the factory, then they would initially have to seek (not 'tout' surely) orders everywhere, and I would say particularly from organised factories where they could expect a sympathetic hearing for their case.

## 'Nor Iron Bars a Cage'

IT WILL BE interesting to go to prison again! It was thirty years ago and it will be interesting to see if the places have improved. There was a war on at the time and that was given as an excuse for all the shortcomings. The poor food, the unbalanced diet, the shoddy clothing, the bureaucratic delays, could all be put down by the young and charitable to wartime conditions. But the indifference, inefficiency alternating with gross administrative rigour, and sheer waste of it all could not be overlooked.

One has heard over the interval that things have not changed. Gross brutality, harshness and corruption were not easy to see and no doubt, if they existed, were more human in their execution than the cold precise punishment of the routine locking-away of hundreds day-by-day to isolate them from society. To teach industry by bored inactivity; to teach responsibility by regulation of every act; to teach social behaviour by an anti-social system where sociability could be punished—this was, and probably still is, the task of the prison service. Can it be wondered that it does not succeed?

This sentence, whatever it will be, is in exchange for a fine. Looking at the

labour; one works enough for the State as it is.

One was told by the Census enumerator that such fines will go towards the cost of the Census. This, except in a very remote way, is untrue but nevertheless there exists the uneasy feeling that all fines paid to courts help to keep the State system of injustice running. Therefore I do not intend to pay and furthermore would urge all comrades, however well-intentioned, not to pay for me. If they have so much spare money and want to do something for *FREEDOM* there is always the Press Fund.

It will be no picnic going to prison but it is not utterly waste or hardship. The cavalier poet, Lovelace, wrote some of the most sententious lines about prison ever quoted (and they're quoted very often). It is called 'To Alatheia, from Prison' and its closing stanzas read something like this:

'Stone walls do not a prison make.

Nor iron bars a cage.

Minds meditative and quiet take  
them for hermitage.'

A rather ribald but charming Irish bigamist, with whom I had the privilege of being handcuffed on a transfer to Shrewsbury, used to chant the first

# LETTERS

## RAF

Dear Comrades,

Abuse from Albert Meltzer (July 22) is praise indeed. He has of course perfected the curious technique of arguing by contradiction, so that it makes sense for him to join the mass media in calling the Red Army Fraction anarchist although—or rather, **because**—it calls itself Marxist-Leninist.

There is some attempt at rational argument—that the RAF doesn't resemble the Communist Party, and doesn't advocate proletarian dictatorship or a revolutionary vanguard party; actually it has discussed the necessity for proletarian dictatorship, and clearly sees **itself** as a revolutionary vanguard organisation.

## Still Out—after Two

ON FRIDAY, JULY 7—the unions met Barclay, Fine Tubes' boss, in London—but nothing came of the talks. Barclay, having seen the union bureaucrats' inability to do anything over the past couple of years, must have felt quite safe in telling them to clear off.

Monday, July 10.—Pickets back on at factory gates turning most people away. Blacking started again including third party blacking, i.e. Rolls Royce (Derby) use Fine Tubes' stuff so workers at Centrax (Newton Abbot) stop all supplies to RR and threaten to disrupt production there.

As the blacking picks up again the repercussions begin to be felt through a large sector of British and European industry due to the fact that Fine Tubes have a monopoly in their field—in 6 to 8 weeks' time the Concorde project, both here and in France, will face disruption due to lack of supplies.

Monday, July 17.—Crispen, T&GWU bureaucrat par excellence, phoned the strike committee saying that all the

But there is no attack with the basic fact perfectly good idea tion—its published with scriptural quotations Engels and above Mao, and follow the argument for urban laid down by Mao Latin America. Mao specifically insisted anarchists' and has a 'reconstructed Mao the new collection lished by the Stoke Group (*Armed Res many, 20p*) and eslated essay *On the Western Europe*.

Of course it is p Army Fraction is in name, just as the anarchist only in Meltzer is so fond who call themselves really anarchists wonder. . . . Inc spelling is not 'Ma

strikers should be saying that no one tion—which mean would not be able t at factory floor lev have to rely on lo to mediate. And w means—fuck all c away.—Union boss say, 'You'll never Crispen was given he got before—'fuc Now the facto strikers' demands c And as the com weaker, the deman

Send money to —they still need have been out for clothes, etc., wear Tubes Strike Com Side, Plymouth, D (From A Plymouth

## Is it 'No Go'?

WHILE THE 'Green' and the 'Orange' partisans battle for the mastery of Ulster, in a senseless welter of bombing, squalid shootings, beating and maiming, one thing seems to stand out for Libertarians as a ray of hope. That is the 'No Go' areas of the Creggan, 'Free' Derry, and the Bogside. In some aspects at least they bear a superficial resemblance to the 'Libertarian' communes in

Spain. The militar are driven from th instead control th run their own aff are no longer pai landlords and mo collected and spent on services organ themselves.

So far, so good look at the other can mean 'people's' 'people's' prisons squads, hooded me and public degrad of a 'defence', r Corpus', and no the bewigged c Tribunals of Veng (In Maoist Chi

## RED HELP



John Palmer should not have been so stung by my report of the IS meeting. The question put to the platform was 'Do you agree with the take-over at Brian's and its operation under workers'

tory, then they would initially have to seek (not 'tout' surely) orders everywhere, and I would say particularly from organised factories where they could expect a sympathetic hearing for their case.

## 'Nor Iron Bars a Cage'

IT WILL BE interesting to go to prison again! It was thirty years ago and it will be interesting to see if the places have improved. There was a war on at the time and that was given as an excuse for all the shortcomings. The poor food, the unbalanced diet, the shoddy clothing, the bureaucratic delays, could all be put down by the young and charitable to wartime conditions. But the indifference, inefficiency alternating with gross administrative rigour, and sheer waste of it all could not be overlooked.

One has heard over the interval that things have not changed. Gross brutality, harshness and corruption were not easy to see and no doubt, if they existed, were more human in their execution than the cold precise punishment of the routine locking-away of hundreds day-by-day to isolate them from society. To teach industry by bored inactivity; to teach responsibility by regulation of every act; to teach social behaviour by an anti-social system where sociability could be punished—this was, and probably still is, the task of the prison service. Can it be wondered that it does not succeed?

This sentence, whatever it will be, is in exchange for a fine. Looking at the cost of keeping a man in prison, the Government is getting no bargain. Indeed to pay such a fine would be the equivalent of extracting twelve days of

labour; one works enough for the State as it is.

One was told by the Census enumerator that such fines will go towards the cost of the Census. This, except in a very remote way, is untrue but nevertheless there exists the uneasy feeling that all fines paid to courts help to keep the State system of injustice running. Therefore I do not intend to pay and furthermore would urge all comrades, however well-intentioned, not to pay for me. If they have so much spare money and want to do something for FREEDOM there is always the Press Fund.

It will be no picnic going to prison but it is not utterly waste or hardship. The cavalier poet, Lovelace, wrote some of the most sententious lines about prison ever quoted (and they're quoted very often). It is called 'To Althea, from Prison' and its closing stanzas read something like this:

'Stone walls do not a prison make.  
Nor iron bars a cage.  
Minds meditative and quiet take  
them for hermitage.'

A rather ribald but charming Irish bigamist, with whom I had the privilege of being handcuffed on a transfer to Shrewsbury, used to chant the first line 'Stone walls do not a prison make'—and add 'But O Boy! How they help.'

JACK ROBINSON.

## THIS WEEK IN IRELAND

**BLOODY FRIDAY.** On Saturday we were almost numb with the horror of what the Provos had done. I went up the street to shop, and a group of them were actually on the street selling things for their cause. I ran to them with threats of the gardai and calling them bloody murderers. A five-month baby, a fourteen-year-old boy and others. No day of mourning called by our Government who called one for Bloody Sunday in January. In fact they have risen and are all on holiday or fighting (very dirtily) the by-election in Cork.

Whitelaw joins the Unionists and helps them crack down, not on the Provos specifically but on all Roman Catholics. These people had turned away in agony from what was done in their name, but now they are about to give back their loyalty to the Provos 'as we have no one else to defend us'. The soldiers are in their houses putting barbed wire along the passages and confining the family to ONE ROOM, and indulging in their usual way of defecating and urinating on the carpets and destroying the homes. Meanwhile the UDA and Vanguard literally get away with cold-blooded murder, going round in cars and shooting those on Craig's

assassination list, and today they declare they will prevent oil getting into the Bogside and Creggan so that all the people will perforce be driven out. Then they will destroy the whole of these Catholic ghettos.

Whitelaw lets them say and do these things. He and Britain are so afraid of the Unionists. I am in despair. I have no hope left, none. We will kill each other off until, like the Kilkenny cats, nothing is left but our nails and the tips of our tails, and begum we've got tails, and horns and hooves. We aren't human, we are devils, or vampires mad with the lust to kill, kill, kill.

For fifty years the Unionists have sowed dragon's teeth. Naturally monsters came up. What Whitelaw and Co. seem incapable of grasping is that it is NO USE to kill the monsters off if they leave alive those from whose loins the monsters sprang to spawn more monsters ad infinitum.

By all means stop the Provos murdering innocent people BUT stop the UDA and UDF and UVF murdering too. The same sauce must serve for both goose and gander, they are equally EVIL.

H.

ability to do anything over the past couple of years, must have felt quite safe in telling them to clear off.

Monday, July 10.—Pickets back on at factory gates turning most people away. Blacking started again including third party blacking, i.e. Rolls Royce (Derby) use Fine Tubes' stuff so workers at Centrax (Newton Abbot) stop all supplies to RR and threaten to disrupt production there.

As the blacking picks up again the repercussions begin to be felt through a large sector of British and European industry due to the fact that Fine Tubes have a monopoly in their field—in 6 to 8 weeks' time the Concorde project, both here and in France, will face disruption due to lack of supplies.

Monday, July 17.—Crispen, T&GWU bureaucrat par excellence, phoned the strike committee saying that all the

## Is it 'No Go'?

WHILE THE 'Green' and the 'Orange' partisans battle for the mastery of Ulster, in a senseless welter of bombing, squalid shootings, beating and maiming, one thing seems to stand out for Libertarians as a ray of hope. That is the 'No Go' areas of the Creggan, 'Free' Derry, and the Bogside. In some aspects at least they bear a superficial resemblance to the 'Libertarian' communes in

## RED HELP

ON SUNDAY at the Centro Iberico there was a meeting about 'What is happening in Italy?' and the need for a strong defence of all left-wing groups against state repression. In Italy the build-up to the wage-contract resignings has already created a situation in which 350 militants from Lotta Continua and Potere Operaio have been charged with subversion. Meanwhile Prime Minister Andreotti has outlawed 'terroristic organisations' so as to prevent a re-run of the 68/69 disturbances after which the fascist bombings, the arrest of Valpreda, and the killing of Pinelli occurred. Now already the strikes and pickets have begun although it is not until Autumn that the re-contracting begins (for a similar view of 69/69 see *Radical America's Italy* 1968/1969). Fascist gangs have been employed to smash up pickets and disrupt left-wing organisation in preparation for the Autumn. What is really needed is a defence organisation (both legal and anti-fascist) to prevent the fascist gangs from dominating the militant struggle. This is where Red Help is considered to be useful.

Already Red Helps exist in Germany and France. In the latter a Red Help member has been prominent in the campaign against a local 'dignitary' who is accused of killing a miner's 16-year-old daughter in Bruay. However in Britain only very unco-ordinated bodies like the AIL defence groups, Black Cross and the like exist. If the prediction that police repression is only just beginning to bite with more and more political conspiracy charges is correct, a British Red Help may soon be necessary. The presence of 200 at the meeting seems to endorse this.

D.B.

at factory doors... have to rely on... to mediate. And means—fuck all away—Union boss say, 'You'll never Crispin was given he got before—' Now the fact strikers' demands... And as the com weaker, the deman

Send money to t... —they still need... have been out for clothes, etc., we Tubes Strike Com Side, Plymouth, D (From Plymouth

Spain. The milita are driven from t instead control th run their own aff are no longer pa landlords and m collected and spen on services orga themselves.

So far, so goo look at the other can mean 'peopl 'people's' prison squads, hooded m and public degrad of a 'defence', Corpus', and no the bewigged cl Tribunals of Ven

(In Maoist Chin of boiler-suited z well versed in fully trained in shout 'Guilty! D signal from the 'b

And who are Workers and Res the local Bully t trolled areas are sectarian, puritani reactionary—rathe Spanish commune coffee and tobacc the sacred name And where does stop?

What if the 'M areas in New Yo over the East E 'Moral Rearma squalid tenement minster Theatre' occupy?

But, the obvio Go' area is its v starvation, or ev the cutting off of as gas, water, supplies. Therefo area must conta works, and a p schools and a hos main arteries of c

(In London, as Battersea would place. 'Up the J gas works, and c

Because of thi there can never mination until th fact the whole W area, where rea tarians, and chau be shot on sight



# LETTERS

ers said that they did if that is what they of their reply made workers' control they why should John say posed to 'building factory in the Old

ional Socialism sup—so does everyone de union bureaucrats ending of large sums briant workers. But, icial said at the last question of the fac-workers' control was e buyers—definitely ounds the death knell and there are some) and run the factory

is that a UCS-type and the workers every again. This is ons, the Communist s I can discover, of ism. If not, why speak so scathingly the idea of Briant ers? If, against all rkers keep the fac-uld initially have to y) orders everywhere, particularly from ore ere they could expect ng for their case.

e'

enough for the State

the Census enumer-will go towards the This, except in a very true but nevertheless easy feeling that all ts help to keep the stice running. There-d to pay and further-ll comrades, however t to pay for me. If h spare money and ng for FREEDOM there Fund.

enic going to prison ly waste or hardship. Lovelace, wrote some ous lines about prison they're quoted very d 'To Alatheia, from ng stanzas read some-

not a prison make. age. re and quiet take nitage.'

but charming Irish m I had the privilege ed on a transfer to

## RAF

Dear Comrades,

Abuse from Albert Meltzer (July 22) is praise indeed. He has of course perfected the curious technique of arguing by contradiction, so that it makes sense for him to join the mass media in calling the Red Army Fraction anarchist although—or rather, **because**—it calls itself Marxist-Leninist.

There is some attempt at rational argument—that the RAF doesn't resemble the Communist Party, and doesn't advocate proletarian dictatorship or a revolutionary vanguard party; actually it has discussed the necessity for proletarian dictatorship, and clearly sees **itself** as a revolutionary vanguard organisation.

## Still Out—after Two Years

ON FRIDAY, JULY 7—the unions met Barclay, Fine Tubes' boss, in London—but nothing came of the talks. Barclay, having seen the union bureaucrats' inability to do anything over the past couple of years, must have felt quite safe in telling them to clear off.

Monday, July 10.—Pickets back on at factory gates turning most people away. Blacking started again including third party blacking, i.e. Rolls Royce (Derby) use Fine Tubes' stuff so workers at Centrax (Newton Abbot) stop all supplies to RR and threaten to disrupt production there.

As the blacking picks up again the repercussions begin to be felt through a large sector of British and European industry due to the fact that Fine Tubes have a monopoly in their field—in 6 to 8 weeks' time the Concorde project, both here and in France, will face disruption due to lack of supplies.

Monday, July 17.—Crispen, T&GWU bureaucrat par excellence, phoned the strike committee saying that all the

But there is no attempt to come to terms with the basic fact that the RAF has a perfectly good idea of its political position—its published statements are littered with scriptural quotations from Marx and Engels and above all from Lenin and Mao, and follow the recognisable Marxist argument for urban guerrilla already laid down by Marxist theoreticians in Latin America. Moreover, the RAF has specifically insisted that 'we are not anarchists' and has frequently called for a 'reconstructed Marxism-Leninism'—see the new collection of RAF texts published by the Stoke Newington 8 Defence Group (*Armed Resistance in West Germany*, 20p) and especially the untranslated essay *On the Armed Struggle in Western Europe*.

Of course it is possible that the Red Army Fraction is Marxist-Leninist only in name, just as the Bonnot Gang was anarchist only in name. Indeed Albert Meltzer is so fond of saying that people who call themselves anarchists are not really anarchists that one begins to wonder. . . . Incidentally the correct spelling is not 'Mainhoff' but 'Meinhof'.

N.W.

strikers should be on picket duty—thus saying that no one was to go on delegation—which means that the strikers would not be able to meet fellow workers at factory floor level, in fact they would have to rely on local union bureaucrats to mediate. And we all know what that means—fuck all done, blacking fades away—Union bosses come along and say, 'You'll never win, call it all off.' Crispen was given the same answer that he got before—'fuck off'.

Now the factory either meets the strikers' demands or it gets closed down. And as the company's position gets weaker, the demands increase.

R.G.

Send money to the Fine Tubes' Strikers—they still need it. Don't forget they have been out for over two years now—clothes, etc., wear out. Send to Fine Tubes Strike Committee, c/o 65 Breton Side, Plymouth, Devon.

(From Atlantis News Agency, Plymouth.)

## Is it 'No Go'?

WHILE THE 'Green' and the 'Orange' partisans battle for the mastery of Ulster, in a senseless welter of bombing, squalid shootings, beating and maiming, one thing seems to stand out for Libertarians as a ray of hope. That is the 'No Go' areas of the Creggan, 'Free' Derry, and the Bogside. In some aspects at least they bear a superficial resemblance to the 'Libertarian' communes in

Spain. The military and the State police are driven from the streets; the 'People' instead control their own districts and run their own affairs. Rents and debts are no longer paid to outside absentee landlords and moneylenders; rates are collected and spent within the 'commune' on services organised by the people themselves.

So far, so good. All very fine. But look at the other side. 'People's' police can mean 'people's' kangaroo courts, 'people's' prisons, sordid execution squads, hooded men, beatings, maimings, and public degradation, with no pretence of a 'defence', no jury, no 'Habeas Corpus', and no Appeal—and not even the bewigged charade of the State Tribunals of Vengeance (Law Courts).

## RED HELP

## Contact

Contact Column is for making contact! Use is free, but donations towards typesetting costs are welcome

Help Fold and Despatch 'FREEDOM' Thursdays from 2 p.m., followed by discussion at 7.30 p.m.

**Anarchist Discussions.** First Friday in each month, 7.30 p.m. at 7 Cresswell Walk, Corby. Phone: Corby 66781.

**100 Years of Libertarian Revolution: A Progress Report.** Meeting to commemorate the St. Imier Congress of the First International. Sunday, September 10, 7.30 p.m., at the Centro Iberico (Trinity Church Hall, Holborn—opposite Holborn Tube). Libertarian Communist speakers from UK and the Continent. Organised by North London ORA.

**'Peace News'** for theory and practice of non-violent revolution. £4.95 p.a. (students less 10%). Trial sub. 7 weeks for 50p with free M. Duane 'Biological Basis of Anarchism'. 5 Caledonian Road, N.1.

**Commitment.** Val & Colin (22 Kitchener Road, Thornton Heath, Surrey, 01-653 6910) setting up farm in N. Wales to grow chemical-free foods and publish results. Any interested 'science qualified' people please contact.

**Roadrunner** No. 37 out. Articles on WRI, Helder Camera, Gay Equality. 8p, or £1.25 for 12, or 65p for 6. 28 Brundretts Road, Manchester, 21.

**Black and Red Outlook** No. 6. Articles on Anti-Recruiting, Rents, Unionism, I.R. Act, Free Education. From A. Portus, 116 Gilda Brook Road, Eccles, Lancs. £1 for 10 issues.

**Bakunin buys books** at the Anarchist Bookshop, 153 Woodhouse Lane, Leeds 2. Large stock GB and US mags, etc.

**Spanish Translator** needed to translate sections from a Spanish book on the Civil War. Contact P. Newell, 'Aegean', Spring Lane, Eight Ash Green, Colchester, CO6 3QF.

**Mike Callinan** (Brixton 110305). Visiting days Tuesday and Thursday. For arrangements get in touch with George Foulser, 113 Cazenove Road, N.16.

**Stoke Newington 8 Trial.** Send all aid to '8' Fund, Compendium Bookshop, 240 Camden High Street. Meals, fruit, papers, books (new ones only), cigarettes and money needed.

these areas? It is useless to speak, or even to start thinking about a 'Workers' Militia' until the means of production, distribution, and exchange, are brought under Workers' Control. Otherwise we should be faced with the ludicrous spectacle of workers guarding private property and factories and premises which



initially have to  
orders everywhere,  
particularly from or-  
ere they could expect  
ng for their case.

e'

enough for the State

the Census enumer-  
will go towards the  
This, except in a very  
true but nevertheless  
easy feeling that all  
ts help to keep the  
rice running. There-  
to pay and further-  
all comrades, however  
ot to pay for me. If  
h spare money and  
ng for FREEDOM there  
Fund.

icnic going to prison  
ly waste or hardship.  
Lovelace, wrote some  
ous lines about prison  
they're quoted very  
d 'To Alatheia, from  
ing stanzas read some-  
not a prison make.  
age.  
ve and quiet take  
mitage.'

but charming Irish  
m I had the privilege  
ed on a transfer to  
to chant the first  
to not a prison make'  
Boy! How they help.'

JACK ROBINSON.

ND

and today they declare  
oil getting into the  
ggan so that all the  
be driven out. Then  
the whole of these

hem say and do these  
ritain are so afraid of  
m in despair. I have  
e. We will kill each  
ke the Kilkenny cats,  
our nails and the tips  
begun we've got tails,  
ives. We aren't human,  
vampires mad with the  
ill.

the Unionists have  
th. Naturally monsters  
Whitelaw and Co. seem  
ping is that it is NO  
monsters off if they  
from whose loins the  
spawn more monsters

pp the Provos murder-  
BUT stop the UDA  
F murdering too. The  
serve for both goose  
are equally EVIL.

H.

riters, London, E.1

ability to do anything over the past  
couple of years, must have felt quite  
safe in telling them to clear off.

Monday, July 10.—Pickets back on at  
factory gates turning most people away.  
Blacking started again including third  
party blacking, i.e. Rolls Royce (Derby)  
use Fine Tubes' stuff so workers at Cen-  
trax (Newton Abbot) stop all supplies  
to RR and threaten to disrupt production  
there.

As the blacking picks up again the  
repercussions begin to be felt through  
a large sector of British and European  
industry due to the fact that Fine Tubes  
have a monopoly in their field—in 6 to  
8 weeks' time the Concorde project, both  
here and in France, will face disruption  
due to lack of supplies.

Monday, July 17.—Crispen, T&GWU  
bureaucrat par excellence, phoned the  
strike committee saying that all the

at factory floor level, in fact they would  
have to rely on local union bureaucrats  
to mediate. And we all know what that  
means—fuck all done, blacking fades  
away—Union bosses come along and  
say, 'You'll never win, call it all off.'  
Crispen was given the same answer that  
he got before—'fuck off'.

Now the factory either meets the  
strikers' demands or it gets closed down.  
And as the company's position gets  
weaker, the demands increase.

R.G.

Send money to the Fine Tubes' Strikers  
—they still need it. Don't forget they  
have been out for over two years now—  
clothes, etc., wear out. Send to Fine  
Tubes Strike Committee, c/o 65 Breton  
Side, Plymouth, Devon.

(From Atlantis News Agency,  
Plymouth.)

## Is it 'No Go'?

WHILE THE 'Green' and the 'Orange'  
partisans battle for the mastery of  
Ulster, in a senseless welter of bombing,  
squalid shootings, beating and maiming,  
one thing seems to stand out for Liber-  
tarians as a ray of hope. That is the  
'No Go' areas of the Creggan, 'Free'  
Derry, and the Bogside. In some aspects  
at least they bear a superficial resem-  
blance to the 'Libertarian' communes in

Spain. The military and the State police  
are driven from the streets; the 'People'  
instead control their own districts and  
run their own affairs. Rents and debts  
are no longer paid to outside absentee  
landlords and moneylenders; rates are  
collected and spent within the 'commune'  
on services organised by the people  
themselves.

So far, so good. All very fine. But  
look at the other side. 'People's' police  
can mean 'people's' kangaroo courts,  
'people's' prisons, sordid execution  
squad, hooded men, beatings, maimings,  
and public degradation, with no pretence  
of a 'defence', no jury, no 'Habeas  
Corpus', and no Appeal—and not even  
the bewigged charade of the State  
Tribunals of Vengeance (Law Courts).

(In Maoist China for instance, 'juries'  
of boiler-suited zombies are sufficiently  
well versed in jurisprudence and care-  
fully trained in objective judgement to  
shout 'Guilty! Death!' upon a given  
signal from the 'bench'.)

And who are the 'People'? The  
Workers and Residents as a whole, or  
the local Bully Boys? For these con-  
trolled areas are not Libertarian, but  
sectarian, puritanical, and fundamentally  
reactionary—rather like some of the  
Spanish communes, where wine, women,  
coffee and tobacco were all banished in  
the sacred name of wartime austerity.  
And where does 'No Go' come to a  
stop?

What if the 'Mafia' started 'No Go'  
areas in New York; if the Krays took  
over the East End, or even worse, if  
'Moral Rearmament' took over the  
squalid tenements around the 'West-  
minster Theatre', which they already  
occupy?

But, the obvious weakness of a 'No  
Go' area is its vulnerability to blockade,  
starvation, or even bombardment. To  
the cutting off of essential services, such  
as gas, water, electricity, and food  
supplies. Therefore, ideally, any 'No Go'  
area must contain a reservoir, a gas  
works, and a power station at least,  
schools and a hospital, and lie across the  
main arteries of communication.

(In London, as a matter of interest,  
Battersea would seem to be the ideal  
place. 'Up the Junction', power station,  
gas works, and control of river traffic.)

Because of this vulnerable isolation,  
there can never be any real self-deter-  
mination until the whole of Ireland, in  
fact the whole World becomes a 'No Go'  
area, where reactionary priests, sec-  
tarians, and chauvinistic politicians can  
be shot on sight! Now, who controls

Commitment. Val & Colin (22 Kitchener  
Road, Thornton Heath, Surrey, 01-653  
6910) setting up farm in N. Wales to  
grow chemical-free foods and pub-  
lish results. Any interested 'science  
qualified' people please contact.

Roadrunner No. 37 out. Articles on  
WRI, Helder Camera, Gay Equality,  
8p, or £1.25 for 12, or 65p for 6.  
28 Brundretts Road, Manchester, 21.

Black and Red Outlook No. 6. Articles  
on Anti-Recruiting, Rents, Unionism,  
I.R. Act, Free Education. From  
A. Portus, 116 Gilda Brook Road,  
Eccles, Lancs. £1 for 10 issues.

Bakunin buys books at the Anarchist  
Bookshop, 153 Woodhouse Lane,  
Leeds 2. Large stock GB and US  
mags, etc.

Spanish Translator needed to translate  
sections from a Spanish book on the  
Civil War. Contact P. Newell,  
'Aegean', Spring Lane, Eight Ash  
Green, Colchester, CO6 3QF.

Mike Callinan (Brixton 110305). Visiting  
days Tuesday and Thursday. For  
arrangements get in touch with  
George Foulser, 113 Cazenove Road,  
N.16.

Stoke Newington 8 Trial. Send all aid  
to '8' Fund, Compendium Bookshop,  
240 Camden High Street. Meals,  
fruit, papers, books (new ones only),  
cigarettes and money needed.

these areas? It is useless to speak, or  
even to start thinking about a 'Workers'  
Militia' until the means of production,  
distribution, and exchange, are brought  
under Workers' Control. Otherwise we  
should be faced with the ludicrous spec-  
tacle of workers guarding private prop-  
erty, and factories and premises which  
they do not even own!

The 'Militia' defending a Libertarian  
Society and the gains of the Revolution  
should consist of volunteers of both  
sexes from all sections of the community,  
trades and professions (apart from known  
saboteurs or counter-revolutionaries).  
They should be based upon the place of  
work, and the place of residence, and  
every member should serve on a rota  
basis, so that there can never be any  
permanent command. 'Leaders' of 'groups'  
of 10, 'centuries' (100) and 'columns' of  
1,000, should be elected, subject to recall,  
and under the control of factory and  
street committees on the lines of the  
CNT, FAI.

There can be no immediate or facile  
solution for Ireland. The Republic, as  
it stands, has nothing to offer. It is  
priest-ridden, medieval, and backward-  
looking; ruled by a complacent bour-  
geoisie; dotted with the castles of wealthy  
English tax-dodgers and horse-breeders,  
and heavily invested by West German  
Industrialism.

But, meanwhile, the British Army of  
Occupation which protects the 'Orange'  
ruling-class must be withdrawn; all in-  
ternees (POWs) released, an amnesty  
for all political prisoners. Then, once a  
free, Socialist, secular, and United  
Ireland has been proclaimed, the IRA and  
the UDA should disband and give place  
to a non-sectarian, libertarian Citizens'  
Militia, until such time as men can agree  
to live in comradeship, tolerance, and  
amicability and the 'Gunman' of today  
becomes the 'One Man' of tomorrow.  
Until then, until the verdant dawn arises  
and dispels the fogs on the crapulent  
political bogs of 'Mother Ireland', it's  
just No Go.

CAVAN MCCARTHY.

D.B.